

### Quintain Ltd

### WEMBLEY WESTERN CORRIDOR STUDY

**Final Report** 



Quintain Ltd

### WEMBLEY WESTERN CORRIDOR STUDY

**Final Report** 

**TYPE OF DOCUMENT (VERSION) CONFIDENTIAL** 

PROJECT NO. 70022897 OUR REF. NO. 005

**DATE: MAY 2018** 

WSP WSP House 70 Chancery Lane London WC2A 1AF Phone: +44 20 7314 5000 Fax: +44 20 7314 5111 WSP.com

### QUALITY CONTROL

Issue/revision	First issue	Revision 1	Revision 2	Revision 3
Date	03 May 2018			
Prepared by	John Allen			
Signature				
Checked by	Nick Cottman			
Signature				
Authorised by	Brendan Weaver			
Signature	Joh-			
Project number	70022897			
File reference				

# CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	2
2	OWNER'S HIGHWAY WORKS	5
3	COUNCIL'S HIGHWAY WORKS	11
4	CORRIDOR SCHEME DESIGN DEVELOPMENT	15
5	FORECAST TRAFFIC DEMAND AND COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS	22
6	TRAFFIC MODELLING	26
7	CONCLUSIONS	42

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A – Highway Scheme Drawings Appendix B – WCS Scheme Drawings Appendix C – Trip Generation Tables Appendix D – Journey Time Results Appendix E – Queue Results

## vsp

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Western Corridor Study (WCS) was secured in the s106 agreement dated 23 December 2016 attached to London Borough of Brent (LBB) planning permission 15/5550. The s106 defines the WCS as: "a transport study of the vehicle corridor from Forty Lane south to Harrow Road Triangle which study will establish the works required to meet the Owner's Western Corridor Study Objectives and the Council's Western Corridor Study Objectives."

The Owner's WCS Objectives are: (a) linking the WCS Junctions to optimise traffic light co-ordination; (b) improving traffic capacity; and (c) lengthening the northbound carriageway.

The Council's WCS Objectives are: (a) reconnecting North End Road to Bridge Road; (b) improving the junction of Brook Avenue/Bridge Road; (c) widening the road bridge over the Chiltern Railway line between the Harrow Road Triangle and South Way; and (d) improving the York House gyratory.

The corridor scheme designs were based on either the Owner's highway works schemes or Council's highway works schemes. Where scheme drawings were available, the highway works are based on that information as far as reasonably practicable. Where scheme drawings were not available, new scheme drawings have been developed to reflect the works described in the s106 obligation or Council's WCS Objectives. Following initial design and model runs further options were tested and refined to achieve the best overall solution. The design development followed a process of engagement and evolution with Quintain and LBB.

A traffic micro-simulation model was developed to assist in the design and optimisation of the WCS schemes. The base year WCS model was developed using traffic surveys conducted in July 2016 for a typical weekday AM and PM peak hour. The base model was calibrated and validated against observed traffic flows and journey times using TfL's Modelling Guidelines and Model Auditing Process (MAP). A forecast year model (2031) was projected from this base model which takes forecast traffic demand in the form of committed development, plus background traffic growth as forecast by TfL in the West London Highway Assignment Model (WeLHAM).

Traffic modelling results shows that bus and general traffic journey times through the corridor in 2031 are forecast to be largely maintained at similar levels as today (2016 base year). The largest increases in journey time are limited to around one minute, and many other routes shows journey time improvements.

Traffic growth associated with Wembley Park results in localised increases in peak hour traffic on east-west routes by 2031. However, this level of demand is unlikely to eventuate due to the lower level of car parking than originally proposed and less traffic intensive land uses being brought forward than was considered in outline planning applications. Therefore the results represent a conservative upper end forecast.

The WCS has developed and tested a coordinated package of highway and traffic signalling improvements for the study corridor which meet the WCS objectives. It sets the blueprint for the corridor and demonstrates that, combined, the interventions will deliver improvements along the corridor. However the interventions will need to come forward in a phased manner as they are individually subject to separate planning and funding constraints. In addition, schemes will be subject to further consultation, technical approval and detailed design.

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 REPORT PURPOSE

1.1.1. The Western Corridor Study (WCS) was secured in the s106 agreement dated 23 December 2016 attached to London Borough of Brent (LBB) planning permission 15/5550. The methodology for the WCS was set out in 'Traffic Modelling Scoping Report, WSP, May 2017' and the model calibration process was set out in 'Local Model Validation Report, WSP, January 2018'. This report is the final output from the WCS.

#### 1.2 WESTERN CORRIDOR STUDY THE STUDY

1.2.1. Section seven of the sixth schedule of the S106 secures the WCS as follows:

"Unless otherwise agreed, the Owner must undertake, submit to and obtain approval of the Western Corridor Study from the Council within 12 months of a Material Start on the Development."

"The Owner must implement the Owner's Western Corridor Study Works in accordance with the approved Western Corridor Study and the agreed timescales contained therein (or such other amended works and/or timescales as may be agreed with the Council."

1.2.2. The S106 defines the WCS as:

"a transport study of the vehicle corridor from Forty Lane south to Harrow Road Triangle which study will establish the works required to meet the Owner's Western Corridor Study Objectives and the Council's Western Corridor Study Objectives."

#### WCS OBJECTIVES

1.2.3. The S106 defines the Owner's WCS Objectives as:

"(a) linking the WCS Junctions to optimise traffic light co-ordination;

- (b) improving traffic capacity;
- (c) lengthening the northbound carriageway."
- 1.2.4. The S106 defines the Council's WCS Objectives as:
  - "(a) reconnecting North End Road to Bridge Road;
  - (b) improving the junction of Brook Avenue/Bridge Road;

(c) widening the road bridge over the Chiltern Railway line between the Harrow Road Triangle and South Way; and

(d) improving the York House gyratory."

#### WCS JUNCTIONS

- 1.2.5. The WCS Junctions are defined as:
  - "(a) Forty Lane/ Bridge Road;
  - (b) Empire Way I Wembley Park Drive;
  - (c) Fulton Road I Empire Way;
  - (d) Engineers Way / Empire Way;
  - (e) Lakeside Way I Empire Way;
  - (f) Royal Route / Empire Way;
  - (g) South Way I Wembley Hill Road;
  - (h) Harrow Road / Wembley Hill Road / Wembley High Road (also known as Harrow Road Triangle)."

### vsp

1.2.6. **Figure 1** shows the locations of the WCS Junctions (in red) and the locations set out in the Councils WCS Objectives (in blue).



Figure 1 – Corridor Study Key Locations

#### WCS WORKS

1.2.7. The S106 defines the Owner's WCS Works as:

"those works established in an approved Western Corridor Study as being necessary to meet the Owners Western Corridor Study Objectives but excluding any works that it is agreed between the Council and the Owner are required to be delivered under agreements made pursuant to Section 106 of the 1990 Act and/or Section 106A of the 1990 Act for other developments in the vicinity of the Development or Developments."

1.2.8. The S106 defines the Council's WCS Works as:

"those works established in an approved Western Corridor Study as necessary to meet the Council's Western Corridor Objectives."

#### 1.3 REPORT STRUCTURE

1.3.1. This report is composed of the following sections:

- **Chapter 1: Introduction** sets out the context and objectives of the study.
- Chapter 2: Owner's Highway Works describes the highway works which are defined as the responsibility of Quintain ('the owner') within the S106 agreement.
- Chapter 3: Council's Highway Works describes the highway works which are defined as the responsibility of LB Brent ('the Council') within the S106 agreement.
- Chapter 4: Corridor Scheme Design Development describes the development of the coordinated highway design through the study corridor, and presents the proposed highway design.
- Chapter 5: Forecast Traffic Demand and Committed Developments sets out the assumptions and calculations used to determine the future year traffic demand for the corridor study.
- Chapter 6: Traffic Modelling summarises the key traffic modelling results including bus and general traffic journey times.
- Chapter 7: Conclusions provides an overall summary of the study and key findings.

#### 2 OWNER'S HIGHWAY WORKS

#### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

2.1.1. Various highway works are proposed as part of approved planning permissions which were considered within the WCS. This section describes the relevant secured highway works.

#### 2.2 MASTERPLAN, 2016 (REF: 15/5550)

2.2.1. The Eighth Schedule of the 23 December 2016 Masterplan s106 details secured works to the highway and paragraph 2.2 of the s106 requires that:

"The Owner must carry out the Highway Works in accordance with the timescales set out in Column 2 of the Table in Annex 1 to this Schedule."

2.2.2. An extract of Annex 1 of the Eighth Schedule as far as it relates to the WCS is reproduced in **Figure 2** together with commentary in **Table 1**.

#### Table 1 - Highway Works (23 Dec 2016 s106)

Ref	Anne	ex 1 – Highway Works	COMMENTARY		
	Ітем	Description of Highway Works	TIMESCALE OF DELIVERY	DRAWING	Status
A1	1	Works at the signalised junction of Fulton Road and Empire Way substantially in accordance with Plan 06	<i>Prior to Occupation of Plots</i> <i>NW09 and NW10</i>	Plan 06 (1894- SK-016 Rev A)	Not yet undertaken
A2	2	Works at the gyratory junction of the Wembley Park Drive and Empire Way gyratory substantially in accordance with Plan 06	Prior to Occupation of the earlier of Plot NW09, Plot NW10 and the opening to the public of any part of the Park.	Plan 06 (1894- SK-016 Rev A)	Not yet undertaken
A3	3	Works in the vicinity of the junction of Wembley Hill Road and Royal Route to include the provision of a raised junction table across the bell-mouth of Royal Route and upgrading of the zebra crossing outside York House to a pelican or toucan crossing.	Prior to Occupation of the Primary School on the York House School Site PROVIDED THAT if the Primary School is not provided on the York House School Site the Owner will not be required to carry out these works.	N/A	Not yet undertaken
A4	4	Works to provide a pedestrian crossing facility in the vicinity of the junction of Empire Way/Lakeside Way.	Within 12 months of Material Start.	N/A	Not yet undertaken- some initial design work understood to be being undertaken by LBB

2.2.3. The drawing referred to in the s106 in relation to A1 and A2 is presented below and in **Appendix A**.

## vsp



#### Figure 2 - North West Lands 2011 Highway Works – Plan 06 (1894-SK-016 Rev A)

#### 2.3 NORTH WEST LANDS, 2011 (REF: 10/3032)

2.3.1. The First Schedule of the 24 November 2011 North West Lands s106 details secured works to the highway. The works related to the WCS are described in paragraph 16.3 and are reproduced below together with commentary.

Table 2	- North West	Lands 2011	Highway Works	
REE				

Ref		COMMENTARY	
		DRAWING STATUS	
B1	16.3 The owner shall pay the council a contribution of £300,00 towards the carrying out by the Council of the Forty Lane Bridge Road works such payment to be paid within four w of a notice in writing by the Council which it may serve at point following the earlier of the commencement of construction of the 650th dwelling or of the MSCP, and su to the notice containing evidence that the council has the requisite internal approvals to proceed with the said works	20082-036-041A Not yet triggered weeks t any cubject ks.	

- 2.3.2. Note that paragraphs 16.2 and 16.4 of the 24 November 2011 North West Lands s106 also describe highway works but these paragraphs have been cancelled by a Deed of Variation (21 July 2017) to the North West Lands S106 Agreement and superseded by the 23 December 2016 Masterplan s106.
- 2.3.3. The drawings referred to in the North West Lands s106 are presented below and in **Appendix A**, and relates to item C of the Owners WCS objectives.

### vsp



Figure 3 – North West Lands 2011 Highway Works (20082-036-041A)

#### 2.4 SOUTH WEST LANDS, 2016 (REF: 14/4931)

2.4.1. The Seventh Schedule of the 23 December 2016 South West Lands s106 details secured works to the highway and paragraph 2.2 of the s106 requires that:

"The Owner must carry out the Highway Works in accordance with the timescales set out in Column 2 of the Table in Annex 1 to this Schedule."

2.4.2. An extract of Annex 1 of the Seventh Schedule as far as it relates to the WCS is reproduced in Figure 4 together with commentary in **Table 3** and Table 4.

Table 3 – South West Lands	s106 Highway Works 2016
----------------------------	-------------------------

Ref	Anne	ex 1 – Highway Works	COMMENTARY		
	Ітем	Description of Highway Works	TIMESCALE OF DELIVERY	DRAWING	Status
C1	5	Widening of South Way at the junction with Wembley Hill Road in accordance with the Wembley Area Action Plan proposals showing illustratively on Map 20.7.	Within 12 months of receipt of written notice from the council provided that no such notice may be served by the council prior to the date that the Council has obtained control of the land required to carry out these works that is not land with the owner's ownership and further provided that no such notice may be served during construction of plots SW01 and SW02	WAAP Map 20.7	Not yet undertaken



2.4.3. Paragraph 3.1 of the Seventh Schedule of the 23 December 2016 South West Lands s106 also identifies safeguarded land at this location as follows.

Ref			COMMENTARY	
			DRAWING	STATUS
C1	3.1	The Owner will safeguard from development or other encroachment the land shown shaded blue on Plan 05 (to the extent that such land is within the ownership of the Owner) and will use reasonable endeavours to ensure that it is laid out and kept to a standard sufficient for use but the public as a footpath on a temporary basis from practical completion of the adjacent Plot until such date as the highway works set out as item no 5 in Annex 1 to this schedule have been carried out or until 25 years from the date of this Deed whichever is the earlier.	Plan 05	Not yet triggered

2.4.4. The drawings referred to in the s106 are presented below and in Appendix A.



WAAP Map 20.7

Plan 05

Figure 4 – South West Lands 2016 Highway Works



### 2.5 STAGE 1 MASTERPLAN, 2004 (REF: 03/3200) (AS REFERENCED IN MASTERPLAN 2016)

2.5.1. Paragraph 2.4 of the Eighth Schedule of the 23 December 2016 Masterplan s106 requires that:

"If the Empire Way/Engineers Way Highway Works have not been completed pursuant to the 2012 Deed, the Owner must carry out and complete those works in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 above (or such other amended procedures as may be agreed between the Owner and the Council) no later than 12 months from the earlier of Practical Completion of the Northern Park Area and Practical Completion of the Southern Park Area."

The Fourth Schedule of the 23 May 2012 Masterplan s106 details secured works to the highway. The works related to the WCS and saved by the Eighth Schedule of the 23 December 2016 Masterplan s106 are described in paragraph 3 and are reproduced in **Table 5**.

Ref			COMMENTARY	
			DRAWING	STATUS
D1	3 (a)	Empire Way/Engineers Way Widening of the northern arm of Empire Way to provide a 3 metre wide central pedestrian refuge and two entry lanes for southbound traffic.	N/A	All works discharged by the 2017 Deed of Variation, with the exception of
	(b) (c)	Amendments to existing crossing facilities, incorporating the provision of a new staggered crossing on the northern Empire Way arm of the junction, widening of the central pedestrian island on the Engineers Way arm of the junction to 4 metres and provision of advanced cycle stop lines on each entry arm. Removal of existing pedestrian crossing 50 metres south of		the " <i>two entry</i> lanes for southbound traffic" part of item (a) and item (d) which have not yet been undertaken
		the junction, including tactile paving, reinstatement of full height kerbs etc.		
	(d)	Modifications to the traffic signal controller system to link signals within a UTC/SCOOT network along the Empire Way/Wembley Hill Road corridor between Harrow Road and Forty Lane.		
	(e)	Provision of at least 3.5 metres of clear footway around the South Eastern corner of the junction excluding structures and such replacements as may be agreed with the Council in the future.		

#### Table 5 – Stage 1 Masterplan 2004 Highway Works

2.5.2. All other highway works secured in the Stage 1 Masterplan s106 (23 May 2012) are understood to have been either discharged (constructed) or cancelled by the Stage 1 Deed of Variation (28 July 2017) and superseded by the 23 December 2016 Masterplan s106.

#### 2.6 SUMMARY OF OWNER'S HIGHWAY WORKS LOCATIONS

2.6.1. **Figure 5** summarises the location of the highway works secured though previous planning permissions and the references listed in the tables which describe the works.



Figure 5 – Owner's Highway Works Key Locations

#### **3 COUNCIL'S HIGHWAY WORKS**

#### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

3.1.1. Various highway works are proposed by the council which will need to be considered within the western corridor study. This section describes the relevant highway works.

#### 3.2 NORTH END ROAD / BRIDGE ROAD

- 3.2.1. In 1991 the Bobby Moore Bridge was constructed to carry Bridge Road over Olympic Way. To allow construction, North End Road junction to Bridge Road was permanently disconnected with the only access to properties being from Fulton Road via Albion Way. It is one of the Council's WCS Objectives to reconnect the North End Road to Bridge Road. The reconnection of North End Road to Bridge Road is technically challenging owing to a level difference of approximately three metres between the two roads and limited highway land available to undertake any works.
- 3.2.2. To test the practicability of the reconnection the Borough undertook a traffic and structural feasibility study in 2008/9 ('Optimising Capacity Connection of North End Road with Bridge Road, MVA/Gifford, 2009'). The most recent data available is provided in drawings 16634/HW/100/001 Rev B (**Figure 6**) and 16634/HW/100/002 Rev B (**Figure 7**). These drawings are presented below and in **Appendix A**.



Figure 6 – North End Road / Bridge Road Highway Works (16634/HW/100/001 Rev B)



Figure 7 – North End Road / Bridge Road Highway Works (16634/HW/100/002 Rev B)

#### 3.3 BROOK AVENUE / BRIDGE ROAD

3.3.1. Owing to its close proximity to North End Road, the Bridge Road/ Brook Avenue junction was included within the MVA/Gifford study for North End Road and Bridge Road. Following a meeting on 6th March 2017 with Council officers it is understood that the objective for this junction is to improve road safety and improve pedestrian facilities. A scheme has now been identified under the Fulton Quarter Masterplan application Ref. 17/3059. Although a Council obligation within the WCS this will now be brought forward by Quintain.

#### 3.4 WEMBLEY HILL ROAD CHILTERN RAILWAY BRIDGE

- 3.4.1. The proposal to widen the Chiltern Railway bridge is associated with proposals for the Harrow Road/ Wembley Hill Road/ Wembley High Road junction (Harrow Road Triangle or Wembley Triangle). The report 'Wembley Triangle Outline Design Stage 2 Report, JMP, 2010' considered outline design options to improve the Wembley Triangle. The objective was to cater for the increasing demand.
- 3.4.2. The study developed 6 highway layouts with half the options based around maintaining the existing bridge (dominimum), with the remaining options based around widening the bridge (do-maximum. The study recommends that the do-maximum option (Option 3B) is progressed with widening of the bridge and land take at South Way to allow for an extended right turn lane into South Way. This is shown in drawing STH4441-PR-03B Rev A (**Figure 8**) presented below and in **Appendix A**.



Figure 8 - Wembley Hill Road Chiltern Railways Bridge Highway Works (STH4441-PR-03B Rev A)

#### 3.5 YORK HOUSE GYRATORY

3.5.1. The York House Gyratory was replaced by a roundabout in around 2012. It is understood that the roundabout results in issues in terms of both capacity (causing concerns for bus reliability) and safety (particularly for pedestrians). The aims are therefore to improve both capacity (and bus reliability) and safety for pedestrians. A scheme has not yet been identified.

#### 3.6 OTHER PROPOSALS A404 HIGH ROAD

3.6.1. It is understood that the Borough has undertaken a study to seek to reduce the traffic levels on the High Road passing through the shopping area at Wembley Central. We understand this proposal has been put on hold. A scheme that reduces traffic levels on the High Road will alter traffic flows in the wider area, including the Western Corridor study area. For the purposes of this study it will be assumed that the current traffic patterns and movements will remain.

#### 3.7 SUMMARY OF COUNCIL'S HIGHWAY WORKS LOCATIONS

3.7.1. **Figure 9** summarises the location of the Council's highway works.

### vsp



Figure 9 – Council Highway Works Key Locations

#### 4 CORRIDOR SCHEME DESIGN DEVELOPMENT

#### 4.1 DESIGN PROCESS

4.1.1. The corridor scheme design includes:

- the owner's highway works schemes committed as s106 obligations described in Chapter 2;
  - where scheme drawings were available, the highway works are based on that information as far as reasonably practicable;
  - where scheme drawings were not available, new scheme drawings have been developed to reflect the works described in the s106 obligation;
- the council's highway works schemes described in Chapter 3;
  - where scheme drawings were available, the highway works are based on that information as far as reasonably practicable;
  - where scheme drawings were not available, new scheme drawings have been developed to reflect the aims of the schemes described in the Council's WCS Objectives.
- 4.1.2. Following initial design and model runs further options have been tested and refined to achieve the best overall solution in the context of the Owner's WCS Objectives and the Council's WCS Objectives.

#### 4.2 DESIGN SCHEMES

4.2.1. **Figure 10** shows each of the schemes included within the WCS together with the source of the final design. This follows a process of engagement and evolution with Quintain and LBB. The WCS scheme drawings are provided in **Appendix B**.

#### Figure 10 – Corridor Scheme Drawings



#### Source:

Based on 'Wembley Corridor Feasibility Design Study August 2016, Page 56, T-junction with Adjusted Flares'

#### Commentary:

Scheme reflects LBB's preferred option for the remodelling of this junction to prioritise pedestrians and maximise public realm.

Wembley Hill Road Chiltern Railway Bridge remains as existing as per LBB's preference as widening is now not expected to be viable.

**Estimated Implementation Date:** 

Q1 2020

Delivered by:

LB Brent

Harrow Road/ Wembley Hill Road/ Wembley High Road (Harrow Road Triangle) and Wembley Hill Road Chiltern Railway Bridge





#### Wembley Hill Road/ South Way



#### Source:

Ref C1, Based on drawing STH4441-PR-OJB and consistent with 'Plan 05' of South West Lands 2011

#### **Commentary:**

Scheme design reflects scheme secured through s106 amended to reflect retained width on Wembley Hill Road Chiltern Railway Bridge.

Main changes from s106 scheme are single lane exit on southern arm and single lane approach on northern arm.

#### **Estimated Implementation Date:**

Q1 2022 - 12 months post occupation of SW01/02

**Delivered by:** Quintain

#### Source:

Ref A3, New scheme design

#### Commentary:

Simple junction entry table as described in, and required by Masterplan 2016 s106.

**Estimated Implementation Date:** Q3 2019 – prior to occupation of the school (September 2019)

**Delivered by:** Quintain

Empire Way/ Royal Route

### vsp



Empire Way/ Royal Route



Wembley Hill Road/ Empire Way (Former York House Gyratory)

Source: Ref A3, New scheme design

#### Commentary:

Conversion of zebra to puffin crossing including introduction of central median to allow crossing in shadow of signals.

Northbound lane width minimum of 4.8m to allow two lanes of northbound traffic on event days.

#### Estimated Implementation Date:

Q3 2019 – prior to occupation of the school (September 2019)

#### Delivered by:

Quintain/York House School developer

#### Source:

New scheme design

#### Commentary:

Hardened central island to prevent vehicle overrun and encourage lower vehicle speeds through the junction.

**Estimated Implementation Date:** Q3 2019

Delivered by: LB Brent





Empire Way/ Lakeside Way



#### Source:

Ref A4, New scheme design

#### Commentary:

Introduction of puffin crossing to facilitate crossing between Lakeside Way and Wembley Hill Road.

Introduction of an island to provide greater protection to pedestrians crossing between Lakeside Way and Empire Way.

Tightening of junction radii at Empire Way/ Lakeside Way to ease pedestrian crossing of Lakeside Way and Empire Way and slow vehicles on entry of, and approach to, junction.

**Estimated Implementation Date:** Q2 2018

**Delivered by:** Quintain

#### Source:

Ref D1, Existing layout

#### **Commentary:**

As existing, retaining partial improvement scheme already implemented including improved vehicle capacity compared with prior arrangement (at time improvement scheme was secured) and improved pedestrian capacity (relative to secured improvement scheme).

Empire Way/ Engineers Way





Fulton Road/ Empire Way



Wembley Park Drive/ Empire Way

#### Source:

Ref A1, Based on 70035926-SK-04 B following 'Fulton Road/ Empire Way, Junction Mitigation Review, September 2017'

#### Commentary:

Revision compared with s106 scheme to better reflect currently anticipated demand while retaining flexibility of right turn from Empire Way to Fulton Road.

Estimated Implementation Date:

Q4 2021 – prior to occupation of NW09/10

#### Delivered by:

Quintain

#### Source:

Ref A2, Based on 70035926-SK-04 B following 'Fulton Road/ Empire Way, Junction Mitigation Review, September 2017'

#### Commentary:

Revision compared with s106 scheme to better reflect currently anticipated demand and improving northbound capacity.

#### Estimated Implementation Date:

Q4 2021 – prior to occupation of NW09/10 (works undertaken at same time as Fulton Road Junction)

#### Delivered by:

Quintain





#### Source:

New scheme

#### Commentary:

Introduction of puffin crossings and widening of central island to improve pedestrian crossing; now to be secured as part of the Fulton Quarter application.

Scheme also includes relocation of bus stop and re-provision of onstreet parking to better reflect desire lines.

**Estimated Implementation Date:** Q1 2022

**Delivered by:** Quintain



#### Source:

Based on 'Optimising Capacity -Connection of North End Road with Bridge Road, MVA/Gifford, 2009' drawings 16634/HW/100/001 Rev B and 16634/HW/100/002 Rev B.

#### Commentary:

Scheme retained as per previous feasibility study.

**Estimated Implementation Date:** Q4 2019

Delivered by: LB Brent

North End Road/ Bridge Road



#### Source: New scheme.

#### Commentary:

Additional southbound lane introduced to add traffic capacity and alleviate southbound queueing.

**Estimated Implementation Date:** Q4 2019

Delivered by: LB Brent





Source: Ref B1, Based on drawing HD24/01/0

**Commentary:** Updated to reflect the latest LBB scheme proposal.

**Estimated Implementation Date:** Q2 2021

Delivered by: LB Brent

Forty Lane/ Bridge Road

#### 5 FORECAST TRAFFIC DEMAND AND COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS

#### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

5.1.1. The base year WCS model was developed using traffic surveys conducted in July 2016. The WCS takes account of forecast traffic demand in the form of committed development projected to come forward in the study period, plus background traffic growth as forecast by TfL in the West London Highway Assignment Model (WeLHAM). This section sets out the approach to developing the 2031 AM/PM peak hour forecast traffic demand for the WCS.

#### 5.2 COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS

- 5.2.1. This section outlines the committed development relevant to the WCS assessment. This is based on the approach to committed development agreed for the Transport Assessment (TA) dated December 2015 which supported application 15/5550 (the revised Wembley Park Masterplan application). As of September 2015, that TA identified:
  - Committed Development which would result in a material traffic generation and which was then considered in detail; and
  - Committed Development which would not result in a traffic impact on the highway network or would result in a de minimis impact and which was then excluded from the assessment.
- 5.2.2. The list below deals with committed developments in the same way as the December 2015 TA and considers whether or not they were active in July 2016 (when traffic surveys were undertaken for use in the WCS).
  - Committed developments carried forwards from 15/5550 December 2015 TA:
    - 03/3200 Stage 1 Wembley Park Masterplan (Quintain)
    - 14/4931 South West Lands (Quintain)
    - 10/3032 North West Lands (Quintain)
    - 13/1522 Ground Floor West, Olympic Office Centre, 8 Fulton Road
      - Opened September 2016 for student accommodation by Unite (non-traffic generating)
      - Remainder under construction by Barratt for 211 flats with 52 car parking spaces
    - 13/2832 Costco, 30 Second Way
      - Opened August 2016 (not included in baseline surveys)
  - Committed developments included in the 15/5550 December 2015 TA but now excluded:
    - 13/1995 Lycee International de Londes Winston Churchill
      - Opened for years 1-11 September 2015 (year 12 added September 2016 and year 13 September 2017), included in baseline surveys
  - New committed developments included since 15/5550 December 2015 TA:
    - 15/5550 Revised Wembley Park Masterplan (Quintain)
  - New committed developments excluded since 15/5550 December 2015 TA due to nil or de minimis impact on the highway network:
    - 15/4708 Apex House Fulton Road and Albion House Albion Way, Student Accommodation
      - Vehicle trips: 0 AM & 0 PM
    - 16/4156 Heron House Wembley Hill Road , 40 flats
      - Immediately west of Wembley Hill Road/ Empire Way (Former York House gyratory)
      - Vehicle trips: 3 AM & 5 PM
    - 15/4550 Chesterfield House Park Lane, 239 Flats
      - Beyond study area, c. 500m beyond southern extent

- Vehicle trips: 19 AM & 25 PM
- 16/0223 Elsley Primary School Tokyngton Avenue, expansion by 2FE
  - Beyond study area, c. 500m beyond southern extent
  - School trips will be linked locally to trips arising from residential so it would be double counting to consider the traffic generation explicitly
  - Vehicle trips: 185 AM & 25 PM
- 15/4743 Brent House High Road, 248 flats
  - Immediately adjacent to Harrow Road/ Wembley Hill Road/ Wembley High Road (Harrow Road Triangle)
  - Only 20 parking spaces
- 154473 Montrose Crescent Car Park, 186 flats
  - Beyond study area, c. 800m beyond southern extent
  - Only 20 parking spaces
- 16/1698 Cottrell House Wembley Hill Road, 55 flats
  - Within study area (opposite Empire Way/ Royal Route)
  - Vehicle trips: 7 AM & 7 PM
- 16/1401 Amex House North End Road, 195 flats
  - On edge of study area, c.200m from North End Road/ Bridge Road
  - Vehicle trips: 13 AM & 2 PM
- 16/4997 Wembley Point 1 Harrow Road, 382 flats
  - Beyond study area, c. 1300m beyond southern extent
  - Vehicle trips: 59 AM & 64 PM
- 5.2.3. Of the committed developments identified as 'Quintain', **Table 6** below sets out their current status relative to the way in which the permissions have been assessed in transport terms.
- 5.2.4. Some development is currently under construction but not operational and therefore surveyed flows will, to some extent, be higher than they will be in the long term once construction has ended. At this stage the on-going construction is not expected to be a material traffic generator and so has not been considered any further but this may be reconsidered in due course.
- 5.2.5. The committed development traffic included within the WCS for specific developments is presented in **Table 7** and **Appendix C**. This is drawn from relevant Transport Assessments.
- 5.2.6. This assumes that all aspects of each permission will be built out as was assessed within the relevant planning applications. In reality, the Quintain developments are likely to generate significantly less traffic than was forecast due to lower level of car parking than originally proposed and less traffic intensive land uses being brought forward than was considered in outline planning applications.

### ٩٧٧

Application No.	03/3200 <sup>2</sup>		14/4931 <sup>1</sup>		10/3032 <sup>2</sup>		15/5550 <sup>2</sup>	
Location	Wembley Park Masterplan		South West Lands		North West Lands		Revised Wembley Park Masterplan	
Open at time of survey (July 2016)	Open	Future	Open	Future	Open	Future	Open	Future
Food Retail (sqm)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Food Retail (sqm)	16,448	3,682	0	0	0	7,742	0	7,042
Offices (sqm)	417	0	0	750	0	9,230	0	47,796
Hotel (sqm)	25,400	12,118	0	250	0	0	0	25,584
Residential (dwellings)	525	336	0	726	0	1,197	0	3,428
Leisure (sqm)	8,016	2,101	0	0	0	0	0	159
Apart Hotels (sqm)	4,333	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community (sqm)	856	0	0	100	0	0	0	4,449
Food / Drink Facilities (sqm)	10,969	0	0	500	0	0	0	213
Local Retail (sqm)	1155	0	0	375	0	1,753	0	0
Wembley Retail Park (sqm)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
School (sqm)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,945

#### Table 6 – Quintain Development Status

1 2 based on Scenario 1 presented in TA Addendum March 2016 as the most intensive scenario

based on December 2015 TA Appendix W

#### Table 7 – Trip Generation

	AM			PM		
	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Costco	15	14	29	185	195	380
Olympic Office	2	24	26	15	8	24
South West Lands Resi	7	82	89	53	28	81
South West Lands Hotel	15	59	74	31	10	41
South West Lands Office	6	1	7	1	6	7
West Lands Hotel	50	121	171	91	28	119
West Lands Non-Resi	173	91	264	121	331	452
West Lands Resi	3	36	39	25	13	38
North West Lands Resi	18	170	188	120	64	184
North East Lands Resi	18	187	205	134	72	206
East Lands Resi	12	118	130	84	45	129

Source: Masterplan iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment; Costco ttp consulting September 2013 Transport Assessment; Southwest Lands iTransport December 2014 Transport Assessment



#### 5.3 STRATEGIC TRAFFIC DEMAND GROWTH

- 5.3.1. TfL's strategic highway assignment model of West London (WeLHAM) was used to derive an origindestination matrix for the WCS area for the agreed forecast year (2031) to align with HAM modelled years.
- 5.3.2. The WeLHAM reference models were interrogated for the 2012 base year and 2031 forecast year. A subnetwork / cordon matrix was extracted from both models and the difference between 2031 and 2012 calculated for each peak hour. The net change in trips between 2012 (HAM base year) and 2031 was checked for the Wembley masterplan area against the first principles trip generation calculations for committed developments described in section 5.2. The WeLHAM model was then updated to represent development flows more accurately. This approach took account of the effect of the known Wembley area developments on local traffic capacity, and therefore wider traffic re-assignment.
- 5.3.3. The pro-rata traffic growth from 2016 to 2031 was then applied incrementally to the calibrated and validated 2016 VISSIM base model peak hour demand matrices to derive a set of 2031 peak hour demands.
- 5.3.4. The WeLHAM model was not used for a strategic highway impact assessment which was outside the scope of the WCS. It was used for the specific purpose of providing forecast traffic demand matrices for the VISSIM traffic model.
- 5.3.5. **Figure 11** shows the 2016 and 2031 traffic demand matrix totals. It shows that background growth between 2016 and 2031 represents an increase of 6% and 3% in the AM and PM peak hours respectively. Examination of the matrices shows that this growth is almost exclusively associated with through movements on the A406 North Circular, which is outside the WCS scope, and east-west through movements along the A4088 Forty Lane. There is little background growth predicted along the Western Corridor itself.
- 5.3.6. Taking projected development traffic into account the methodology predicted an additional 9% and 11% growth over the 2031 forecast background growth for AM and PM peak hours respectively. Overall, the matrix totals in 2031 represented an increase over 2016 traffic demand in the AM peak of 16% and in the PM peak of 14%.



Figure 11 - 2016 and 2031 Traffic Demand Matrix Totals

#### 6 TRAFFIC MODELLING

#### 6.1 INTRODUCTION

- 6.1.1. The traffic modelling approach, which was agreed with Council, was described in the WCS Scoping Report (May 2017). The approach included the development of a micro-simulation traffic model (VISSIM) calibrated / validated to a 2016 base year, plus development of a 2031 forecast year scheme model which was informed by traffic forecasts for the corridor as taken from TfL's WeLHAM model. It was agreed that the model would reflect a typical weekday AM and PM peak period on a non-event day.
- 6.1.2. This chapter describes the development of the 2016 AM / PM base year VISSIM model. It also describes the development of the 2031 forecast model and key modelling results traffic flows, journey times and queue behaviour.

#### 6.2 BASE MODEL DEVELOPMENT

- 6.2.1. The VISSIM base model development, calibration and validation is documented in the *Wembley: Western Corridor – Local Model Validation Report: VISSIM Base Modelling* (January 2018); otherwise referred to as the LMVR. This section provides a summary of that report.
- 6.2.2. The base model was developed in accordance with TfL Modelling Guidelines and the Model Auditing Process (MAP). TfL's VISSIM template file was used as a starting point for the coding to ensure TfL's bespoke parameter set was adopted.
- 6.2.3. The base model was developed in VISSIM v8.00-15. The VISSIM model represents the 5th July 2016 when the surveys were conducted and covers a two hour period which includes the peak hour, as well as the half hour before (warm-up) and half hour following the peak (cool-down).
- 6.2.4. The model was developed using dynamic assignment since the network includes route alternatives and the changes introduced in the future scenarios may impact driver route choice. The base year matrix was developed based on Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) surveys.

#### MODEL EXTENTS

6.2.5. The model extent is shown on **Figure 12**. The WCS extent is shown within the red boundary. The WCS area includes the full western corridor (Wembley Hill Road, Empire Way and Bridge Road) between Harrow Road and Forty Lane, and includes all of the WCS junctions defined in Section 1.2 (WCS Junctions).



Figure 12 – VISSIM Model Network Structure and WCS Extent

6.2.6. The full model extends to the east well beyond the WCS itself in order to better model traffic movements across the Wembley Park area, and capture the alternative driver route choice. Whilst the North Circular is included within the full model, the junction itself has not been modelled in detail.

#### **TRAFFIC DATA**

- 6.2.7. ATC, MCC, ANPR and queue data were collected at all junctions in the study area in July 2016 to measure the current traffic flow conditions. The ATC, MCC and ANPR counts were compiled into traffic flow spreadsheets and separated by vehicle classes into:
  - Lights included cars (motorcyclists converted to cars with 0.2 factor), taxis and LGV;
  - Heavies included OGV1 and OGV2.
- 6.2.8. ANPR data at most entries to the network along with MCC data at all junctions were used to generate the base matrices for the VISSIM model. ATC data was extracted to provide information on the incoming and outgoing flows on main links around the network.
- 6.2.9. Bus services were coded separately into the model, on static routes with fixed frequencies. Information on the service routes and timetables was obtained from the TfL London Buses website. Bus stop dwell times were set to the average stop time 20sec (2sec standard deviation).
- 6.2.10. ANPR surveys were conducted at 23 locations and covered all major entries to the network. The data were analysed to include only external movements for lights and heavies. Those data formed approximately 80% of the total trips in the network.
- 6.2.11. A SATURN buffer network was built to 'furness' the prior matrices to include internal movements within the network. The model was checked for route selection and a Matrix Estimation (ME) process was run based on the MCC counts to factor the trips. Further manual manipulation was conducted to the post ME base matrix when the calibration checks were conducted.

#### SIGNAL CONTROL DATA

- 6.2.12. Signal timings were calculated from TfL timing sheet, UTC plans and SCOOT messages provided by TfL. The phases, staging orders, intergreens and phase delays were obtained from signal timing sheet and coded into the modelling. All signalised junctions were modelled using fixed timings using vehicle actuated programming (VisVAP) TfL AnyPlan VAP file.
- 6.2.13. The model outputs were obtained for analysis periods of 08:00-09:00 and 17:00-18:00. UTC, SCOOT data and demand dependency data were also used to ensure correct signal timings in the shoulders of the analysis periods.
- 6.2.14. The following TfL referenced nodes were coded into the model:
  - 28/002 A406 North Circular Road / Brentfield Road / Drury Road
  - 28/034 Forty Avenue / Bridge Road / Forty Lane / Barn Hill
  - 28/047 Bridge Road by Wembley Park Station
  - 28/079 A404 Wembley High Road / A479 Wembley Hill Road / Wembley Triange / Harrow Road
  - 28/091 Wembley High Road by Cecil Avenue
  - 28/126 A404 Harrow Road / Neeld Crescent
  - 28/139 Empire Way / Fulton Road
  - 28/169 Empire Way / Engineers Way
  - 28/170 B4557 South Way / Wembley High Road
  - 28/174 Forty Lane / Kings Drive / Asda Access
  - 28/193 B4557 Great Central Way / Drury Way
  - 28/194 B4557 Great Central Way / Hannah Close
  - 28/219 A4088 Forty Avenue by Brook Avenue
- 6.2.15. Signals were coordinated in the model to align with the existing UTC groupings shown in **Figure 14**.

### ۱۱SD



Figure 13 – Traffic Signal Coordination Groupings

- 6.2.16. At pedestrian crossings where the demand dependency of the crossing was recorded as less than 100%, pedestrian input was input to replicate the number of times the pedestrian stage was called within an hour. Checks were completed on demand dependent pedestrian crossing and calibrated against onsite data.
- 6.2.17. Demand dependent indicative right turns were modelled to only call the indicative phase when vehicles were present, as registered by vehicle detectors within the model.

#### **TRAFFIC FLOW CALIBRATION / VALIDATION**

- 6.2.18. The model calibration on MCC turning counts for all junctions which were used to build the VISSIM model. All measured junction turn flows were summed across the model and compared against the equivalent observed turn flows from survey (260 individual turn counts). The overall AM and PM comparison by vehicle type is provided in **Table 8**.
- 6.2.19. The ATC flows for the survey day which were not used in the model build were used as an independent source to validate the model. The overall validation results are shown in **Table 9**.
- 6.2.20. The flow calibration shows that the overall traffic levels in the model replicate the observed traffic levels with GEH values much less than 5 (i.e. Department for Transport WebTAG criteria) for all vehicle types. The validation flows presents good match against most counts with the 'heavies' presenting high GEH results. This discrepancy can be attributed to the accuracy of the ATC distinguishing between vehicle categories, which would explain some of the higher levels of light vehicles and lower levels of heavy vehicles.
| Vehicle<br>type | Period  | Sum<br>Obs. | Sum<br>Mod. | Diff | % Diff | Ave. GEH |
|-----------------|---------|-------------|-------------|------|--------|----------|
| AM Peak         | Lights  | 37,167      | 38,039      | 872  | 2.3%   | 1.8      |
|                 | Heavies | 2,118       | 1,813       | -305 | -14.4% | 0.8      |
|                 | Buses   | 1,357       | 1,213       | -144 | -10.6% | 0.5      |
| PM Peak         | Lights  | 45,370      | 44,711      | -659 | -1.5%  | 2.3      |
|                 | Heavies | 860         | 690         | -170 | -19.8% | 0.6      |
|                 | Buses   | 1,253       | 1,201       | -52  | -4.2%  | 0.4      |

#### Table 8 – Traffic Flow Calibration Summary Statistics

Table 9 – Traffic Flows Validation Overall Statistics

Vehicle type	Period	Sum Obs.	Sum Mod.	Diff	% Diff	Ave. GEH
AM Peak	Lights	6,743	7,051	578	8.9%	3.1
	Heavies	514	250	-264	-51.4%	3.3
	Buses	-	243	243	-	4.3
PM Peak	Lights	7,464	8,113	649	8.7%	2.6
	Heavies	374	84	-290	-77.6%	4.3
	Buses	-	240	240	-	4.3

6.2.21. Figure 14 provides an x-y plot of observed vs. modelled flows for both calibration and validation counts for the AM and PM peaks. Comparisons confirm that calibration flows match well with the observed data (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.9916 to 0.968) and that there is no significant over or under-representation of flows in the model (gradient = 0.9781 to 0.9567). The validation counts also match well with observed, with three counts across the two peaks not meeting validation criteria. These relate to increases in flow on Wembley Hill Road from Royal Route (N) to Linden Avenue (S) and on Empire Way from Fulton Road (N) to Engineers Way (S) in the AM Peak model, and on South Way from Second Way (E) to First Way (W) in the PM Peak model.



Figure 14 – AM and PM Peak Hour Modelled vs. Observed Traffic Flows



#### JOURNEY TIME COMPARISON

6.2.22. Journey time validation was carried out for twelve general traffic routes and four bus routes across the network. The journey time were completed with tracking vehicles method for both general traffic and buses. The general traffic routes and the bus routes are illustrated in **Figure 15**.



#### Figure 15 – Journey Time Routes

- 6.2.23. The following general traffic routes were assessed:
  - Route 1: Western Corridor NB from Wembley Park Drive gyratory to A4088 Forty Lane
  - Route 2: Western Corridor SB from A4088 Forty Lane to A404 High Road
  - Route 3: Western Corridor EB from Wembley Park Drive EB to A4088 Forty Lane
  - Route 4: Western Corridor WB from A4088 Forty Lane to Wembley Park Drive
  - Route 5: Western Corridor EB from Wembley Hill Rd to Harrow Rd
  - Route 6: Western Corridor WB from A404 Harrow Rd to Wembley Hill Rd
  - **Route 7**: Wembley Park EB through South Way from A404 High Rd to Fourth Way
  - Route 8: Wembley Park WB through South Way from South Way to A404 High Rd
  - Route 9: Wembley Park EB through Engineers Way from A4089 Wembley Park Drive to Fourth Way
  - Route 10: Wembley Park WB through Engineers Way from Great Central Way to A4089 Wembley Park Drive
  - Route 11: Wembley Park EB through Fulton Road from A4089 Wembley Park Drive to Great Central Way
  - Route 12: Wembley Park WB through Fulton Road from Great Central Way to A4089 Wembley Park Drive.
- 6.2.24. In addition to these, the following bus routes were also assessed:
  - Bus Route 1: 223 NB from A404 High Road to A4088 Forty Lane
  - Bus Route 2: 223 SB from A4088 Forty Lane to A404 High Road
  - Bus Route 3: 206 EB from A4088 Forty Lane to Great Central Way
  - Bus Route 4: 206 WB from Great Central Way to A4088 Forty Lane
- 6.2.25. Full details of the Journey Time Validation statistics are shown in Appendix BD.

- 6.2.26. The AM peak modelled data presents a good level of comparison against the observed data collected, with eleven of the twelve modelled general traffic routes falling within +/-15% (DfT WebTAG criteria). All four bus journey times sit within 15% of observed in the AM peak model. In the PM peak, there is a slightly higher level of discrepancy to the observed data, with eight of the twelve general traffic routes sitting within the +/-15% threshold. Three of the four bus journey times are also within target criteria (+/- 15%).
- 6.2.27. Overall the AM and PM peak journey times showed a good level of validation between modelled and observed data, suggesting both models provide an accurate representation of peak period traffic conditions.

#### SUMMARY

- 6.2.28. The base models developed to support the WCS show a good level of calibration and validation for such a large scale model.
- 6.2.29. A comparison between the 2016 MCCs and the flows output from the model show a very good match across 260 turn count comparisons, with all of the summary measurements showing a GEH less than 5 (DfT WebTAG criteria) for the corresponding observed counts.
- 6.2.30. There are some differences between observed and modelled queues, however, with the high level of correlation in journey times, and the level of judgement and coverage involved in observing queue lengths, these differences have maintained reasonable differences.
- 6.2.31. Overall, the modelled peak periods are shown to provide accurate representation of onsite conditions observed during the 2016 traffic surveys, and it can therefore be concluded that the models provide a robust base scenario for testing the impacts and benefits of the WCS proposals on the local highway network.

#### 6.3 CORRIDOR SCHEME MODEL DEVELOPMENT

6.3.1. Following the development of the 2016 base models, a future year VISSIM model was developed to show the traffic operations performance of the WCS scheme proposals. The future year VISSIM model represented a 2031 future year and included the proposed junction / corridor designs, 2031 future year traffic flows and committed development trips.

#### **NETWORK CODING**

6.3.2. The future year proposed layout for the Western Corridor was coded into VISSIM as per the final designs descried within Chapter 4 of this report. The scheme development and modelling progressed iteratively, with earlier model versions identifying issues which were subsequently addressed through design refinements. The scheme model as coded using 'Scenario Management feature within VISSIM 8, enabling coding changes to be made and carried forwards between different options if required. This also enabled changes to be duplicated across different peak models, ensuring coding consistency.

#### TRAFFIC SIGNALS

- 6.3.3. One of the WCS objectives, as defined in the s106 agreement, is "*linking the WCS Junctions to optimise traffic light co-ordination*". Analysis of traffic movements from the ANPR survey showed that the corridor could be divided into three distinct sections:
  - Harrow Road to York House Roundabout typically carrying through traffic between Harrow Road and Wembley Hill Road;
  - York House Roundabout to Wembley Park Drive typically local traffic movements and much lower peak hour flows than other two sections; and
  - Wembley Park Drive to Forty Lane typically carrying through traffic between Wembley Park Drive and Forty Lane.
- 6.3.4. Given the distinct nature of these three segments, it was proposed to coordinate the signalised junctions through the corridor as shown in **Figure 16**. This arrangement allows the coordination of traffic signals along the key traffic carrying corridors, and also allows the signals along Empire Way (between York House Roundabout and Wembley Park Drive gyratory) to operate with a lower cycle time when traffic flows allowed, and therefore minimise pedestrian crossing delay.

## vsp



Figure 16 – Proposed Traffic Signal Groupings

- 6.3.5. The proposed signal coordination changes include:
  - extending Region 225 from Harrow Road to include the proposed signalised pedestrian crossing on Wembley Hill Road between Dagmar Way and Stadium Way;
  - creating a new Urban Traffic Control (UTC) Region combining the existing Regions 619 and 1149 representing the Empire Way junctions with Engineers Way and Fulton Road respectively; and
  - extending Region 634 from Forty Lane to include the signalised pedestrian crossing on Bridge Road outside Wembley Park Station, the new junction connecting North End Road with Bridge Road, and the proposed signalised crossings to the north of the Wembley Park Drive / Bridge Road gyratory.
- 6.3.6. Initial junction modelling assessments in LinSig showed a cycle time of 104 seconds to be appropriate for the full Western Corridor, and thus was taken through the full corridor in both peaks. A manual signal optimisation method was used to create the most streamlined operation through the corridor. After implementation, the actual signal timings applied 'on-street' are determined by TfL and will be optimised using the real-time adaptive traffic control system SCOOT (Split Cycle Offset Optimisation Technique). TfL also conduct a rolling programme of signal timing reviews to ensure that timings meet prevailing policy objectives.
- 6.3.7. The linking, coordination and optimisation of traffic signals through the corridor will be the responsibility of TfL's traffic signals team. It is proposed that signalling infrastructure required for coordination is installed as part of the junction improvement works and commissioned onto TfL's UTC system by TfL at the same time. At existing signal installations we recommend that TfL review the signal grouping as part of their signal 'timing review' process, and seek to implement the above proposed coordination protocol when possible within that programme. The coordination of traffic signals through the corridor will therefore be implemented in a phased manner, which is aligned with the wider programme of junction improvements.

### vsp

6.3.8. The Method of Control (MoC) at a traffic signal defines the sequence of phases / stages that run through during the signal cycle time. The MoC was changed at several junctions along the Western Corridor, in particular where junction designs were changed, or where a new junction has been created. These changes in MoC are discussed below.

#### A404 Harrow Road / Wembley Hill Road

6.3.9. The junction of Wembley Hill Road with High Road and Harrow Road is changed significantly from its current design as described in Section 4.2. The MoC implemented at this junction (**Figure 17**) was designed to provide a high level of pedestrian crossing facility whilst maximising traffic capacity. At this junction an all-round pedestrian crossing stage was provided. All pedestrian crossings are 'single stage' / 'straight across' pedestrian movements.



Figure 17: Wembley Hill Road / High Road / Harrow Road Proposed Method of Control

#### Wembley Hill Road / South Way

6.3.10. At the junction of Wembley Hill Road with South Way, the main MoC change is allowing the left turn from South Way to run at the same time as the right turn from Wembley Hill Road (S). The proposed design is described in Section 4.2. The MoC is shown in **Figure 18**.



Figure 18: Wembley Hill Road / South Way Proposed Method of Control

#### Empire Way / Fulton Road

6.3.11. The redesign of the Fulton Road junction with Empire Way allows a right turn movement from the south into Fulton Road. There are also separate streams for the southbound movements, allowing the potential of a left turn early start. The proposed design is described in Section 4.2. The MoC is shown in **Figure 19**.



Figure 19 - Empire Way / Fulton Road Proposed Method of Control

#### Bridge Road / North End Road

6.3.12. At the proposed new junction of Bridge Road with North End Road, the junction will operate with a four stage arrangement, with the main north – south movement followed by the unopposed right turn from Bridge Road into North End Road, North End Road itself, and finally an all-around pedestrian stage. The proposed design is described in Section 4.2. The MoC is shown in **Figure 20**.



Figure 20: Bridge Road / North End Road Proposed Method of Control

#### Bridge Road / Forty Lane / Forty Avenue

6.3.13. The redesign of the Forty Lane junction includes improved pedestrian facilities, with straight over crossings replacing a two stage crossing on the western side of the junction, and the current four part crossing on the southern side of the junction being reduced to a three stage crossing. The proposed design is described in Section 4.2. The MoC is shown in **Figure 21**.



Figure 21 - Forty Avenue / Bridge Road / Forty Lane / Barn Lane Proposed Method of Control

#### 6.4 CORRIDOR PERFORMANCE RESULTS

6.4.1. The results described in this section demonstrate the traffic performance forecast for the Western Corridor in 2031 weekday peak hours with the proposed highway and pedestrian crossing improvements. Comparisons are made against base year model which represents typical 2016 peak hour conditions.

#### **TRAFFIC FLOWS**

6.4.2. Peak hour traffic flows were extracted from the VISSIM model for east-west movements across the corridor. The two screenlines (Eastern SL and Western SL) are shown in **Figure 22**.

### vsp





- 6.4.3. The traffic flows across the Eastern screenline are shown in **Table 10** for the AM peak. Overall there is an increase in traffic crossing the screenline as expected; particularly in the westbound direction towards the study corridor in the morning peak (73% increase). Royal Route and South Way are also forecast to carry more traffic as a result of local development related traffic movements, although it is noted that this level of demand is unlikely to eventuate due to the lower level of car parking than originally proposed and less traffic intensive land uses being brought forward than was considered in outline planning applications. The proposed North End Road connection is forecast to carry around 480 vehicles per hour (two-way) in the AM peak. In the eastbound direction, the North End Road connection appears to cause a significant drop in traffic on Fulton Road (-375 vph) as it represents a faster alternative route which avoids several signalised junctions.
- 6.4.4. The traffic flows across the Eastern screenline are shown in **Table 11** for the PM peak. There is a general increase in traffic crossing the screenline with the eastbound movement towards Wembley Park showing the largest increase (57%). Royal Route and South Way are forecast to carry more traffic due to local development related traffic movements, although it is noted that this level of demand is unlikely to eventuate due to the lower level of car parking than originally proposed and less traffic intensive land uses being brought forward than was considered in outline planning applications. The North End Road connection is forecast to carry 550 vph (two-way) in the PM peak, although there is less evidence in the PM peak of traffic levels dropping on Fulton Road as a result of the new connection.

#### Table 10 – Eastern Screenline Traffic Flows (AM Peak Hour) Eastern Screenline Westbound

	Base	2031	Diff	%Diff
Chalkhill Road	251	227	-24	-9%
North End Road	0	149	149	0%
Fulton Road	143	224	82	57%
Engineers Way	149	145	-4	-2%
Lakeside Way	36	17	-18	-51%
Stadium Way	29	27	-1	-4%
Royal Route	17	258	241	1401%
B4557 South Way	108	214	107	99%
Sum	732	1263	531	73%

#### Eastern Screenline Eastbound

	Base	2031	Diff	%Diff
Chalkhill Road	125	103	-21	-17%
North End Road	0	329	329	0%
Fulton Road	517	143	-375	-72%
Engineers Way	311	253	-58	-19%
Lakeside Way	34	24	-10	-29%
Stadium Way	39	35	-3	-9%
Royal Route	69	262	193	278%
B4557 South Way	165	203	38	23%
Sum	1259	1352	93	7%

#### Table 11– Eastern Screenline Traffic Flows (PM Peak Hour)

#### Eastern Screenline Westbound

	Base	2031	Diff	%Diff
Chalkhill Road	156	156	0	0%
North End Road	0	352	352	0%
Fulton Road	406	424	19	5%
Engineers Way	319	245	-75	-23%
Lakeside Way	27	8	-19	-70%
Stadium Way	50	52	2	4%
Royal Route	177	308	132	75%
B4557 South Way	273	387	114	42%
Sum	1408	1933	524	37%

#### Eastern Screenline Eastbound

	Base	2031	Diff	%Diff
Chalkhill Road	147	140	-7	-5%
North End Road	0	200	200	0%
Fulton Road	147	117	-31	-21%
Engineers Way	173	209	35	20%
Lakeside Way	36	34	-2	-6%
Stadium Way	32	34	2	7%
Royal Route	74	181	107	146%
B4557 South Way	121	231	110	91%
Sum	730	1146	416	57%

#### JOURNEY TIMES

6.4.5. Bus and general traffic journey times were recorded for the routes shown in **Figure 23**.



Figure 23 – Journey Time Routes

- 6.4.6. Of primary interest to the WCS are journey times along Routes 1 to 6, which show the forecast journey times north-south along the corridor:
  - **Route 1**: Western Corridor NB from Wembley Park Drive gyratory to A4088 Forty Lane
  - Route 2: Western Corridor SB from A4088 Forty Lane to A404 High Road
  - Route 3: Western Corridor EB from Wembley Park Drive EB to A4088 Forty Lane
  - Route 4: Western Corridor WB from A4088 Forty Lane to Wembley Park Drive
  - Route 5: Western Corridor EB from Wembley Hill Rd to Harrow Rd
  - Route 6: Western Corridor WB from A404 Harrow Rd to Wembley Hill Rd
- 6.4.7. General traffic routes 7 to 12 forecast journey times for east-west movements across the corridor:
  - Route 7: Wembley Park EB through South Way from A404 High Rd to Fourth Way
  - Route 8: Wembley Park WB through South Way from South Way to A404 High Rd
  - Route 9: Wembley Park EB through Engineers Way from A4089 Wembley Park Drive to Fourth Way
  - Route 10: Wembley Park WB through Engineers Way from Great Central Way to A4089 Wembley Park Drive
  - **Route 11**: Wembley Park EB through Fulton Road from A4089 Wembley Park Drive to Great Central Way
  - Route 12: Wembley Park WB through Fulton Road from Great Central Way to A4089 Wembley Park Drive.
- 6.4.8. The bus route journey times are represented by:
  - Bus Route 1: 223 NB from A404 High Road to A4088 Forty Lane
  - Bus Route 2: 223 SB from A4088 Forty Lane to A404 High Road
  - Bus Route 3: 206 EB from A4088 Forty Lane to Great Central Way
  - **Bus Route 4**: 206 WB from Great Central Way to A4088 Forty Lane
- 6.4.9. For the purpose of this comparison the results have been filtered to only include the journey time sections within the study area (i.e. from the Western Corridor to First Way).



- 6.4.10. The detailed bus and general traffic journey time tables are provided in **Appendix BD** for the AM and PM peaks. Journey times are shown for 2016 base year as well as 2031 scenario with the WCS improvements.
- 6.4.11. In the AM peak, the 2031 forecast journey times along the corridor are generally slightly higher than current journey times, and in most cases the 2031 journey times are within one minute of base year journey times. The AM peak general traffic journey time results are shown in **Figure 24**.



Figure 24 – Base vs. WCS Model Journey Time Comparisons (AM Peak)

- 6.4.12. The AM peak hour journey times forecast in 2031 with the WCS improvements in place are generally close to those in the 2016 base year model. Along the corridor the northbound journey time is forecast to increase by around one minute. This increased delay occurs on the southern section of the corridor between Harrow Road and Fulton Road junctions, and is thought to be partly due to new signalised pedestrian crossings along the route. Southbound general traffic journey times through the corridor are forecast to improve by over one minute. Journey time improvements are thought to be due to better traffic signal coordination through the corridor, which minimises the number of stops vehicles experience.
- 6.4.13. East-west movements across the corridor are also forecast in 2031 with the WCS improvements in place to experience journey times which are similar to those experienced today. Some routes show increases (less than one minute), and other routes forecast reductions in journey time.
- 6.4.14. The PM peak is busier than the AM and general traffic journey times are higher in this peak, when compared with the AM peak. However, journey times in the PM peak show less variation than in the AM peak, with several journey time routes, such as Route 1 northbound along the full length of the corridor, showing improvements in journey times. This can be attributed to improvements to improved traffic signal coordination through the corridor. The PM peak general traffic journey time results are shown in **Figure 25**.



#### Figure 25 – Base vs. WCS Model Journey Time Comparisons (PM Peak)

- 6.4.15. In the southbound direction, there is an increase in journey time of around one minute which mainly occurs between Forty Lane and Wembley Park Drive gyratory. This increase in delay is likely to be due to the new North End Road junction and the new signalised pedestrian crossings proposed north of the Wembley Park Drive gyratory. Route 7 is forecast to increase journey time by 84 seconds which is attributed to an increase in queuing on the High Road approach to Wembley Hill Road and northbound queue on approach to South Way junction.
- 6.4.16. The westbound routes along Engineers Way and Fulton Road show a significant improvement thought to be due to the establishment of an alternative route via North End Road which has reduced the number of traffic movements on both routes.

## vsp



Figure 26 – AM Bus Journey Time Result Comparison

6.4.17. Bus journey times in the AM peak (Figure 26) are forecast to be improved when compared with the 2016 base year, with only one route showing a slight increase in journey time (22 seconds). Bus routes in the PM peak (Figure 27) generally show a small increase in journey time, when compared with the 2016 base year. The largest increase forecast is just over a minute (62 seconds, 17%).



Figure 27 – PM Bus Journey Time Result Comparison



#### **QUEUING BEHAVIOUR**

6.4.18. Queue lengths have been measured at each signalised approach along the study corridor, with these results shown in **Appendix E.** General queuing behaviour through the corridor is described below.

#### AM Peak Hour

- 6.4.19. Overall queuing in the 2031 AM peak model with WCS improvements in place is similar to the 2016 AM peak base model. There are long, but mostly stable, queues occurring on the A404 High Road approach to Wembley Hill Road. Queues on the eastern arm (Harrow Road approach) fluctuate at around 150m. Southbound traffic along the corridor is forecast to experience intermittent broken queues from the York House roundabout through to Harrow Road junction. These queues are due to various points of 'friction' at the proposed signalised pedestrian crossing south of the roundabout, around the bus stop north of South Way junction, and at the South Way and Harrow Road junctions themselves.
- 6.4.20. Queues on South Way, Engineers Way and Fulton Road approaches are small throughout the AM peak hour. However there are long queues at times on North End Road approach to the Wembley Park Road junction. In reality, drivers may adjust to this level of queuing and choose to travel via Fulton Road as an alternative. It is noted however that the North End Road route from Fulton Road allows drivers to avoid three signalised junctions, when compared with travelling directly via Fulton Road, Empire Way, Wembley Park Road route.
- 6.4.21. Intermittent traffic queues along the corridor are forecast northbound through the Wembley Park Road gyratory due to the proposed signalised pedestrian crossing north of the gyratory, and the proposed North End Road junction.
- 6.4.22. In the southbound direction traffic queues form on approach to the signalised crossing adjacent to the Wembley Park station and proposed North End Road junction. These queues are generally slow moving and extend back to the Forty Lane junction at times. Queues are forecast on Chalkhill Road at a similar level as seen in the base model. The Chalkhill Road queues form due to the difficulty drivers experience joining Bridge Road due to slow moving queues on that route.
- 6.4.23. The proposed Forty Lane junction improvements result in similar levels of queuing around the junction, with the largest queues occurring on the east and west arms, with queues peaking at just over 400m from the eastern arm heading south onto the corridor.

#### **PM Peak Hour**

- 6.4.24. The PM peak is generally busier than the AM peak as implied by the matrix totals described in section 5.3.
- 6.4.25. In the PM peak, there are similar levels of queuing at the A404 High Road / Wembley Hill Road junction as forecast in the AM peak. Longer queues are forecast southbound along Wembley Hill Road and Empire Way, extending back through the Engineers Way junction at times. As a consequence, long queues form on Engineers Way and Royal Route approaches during the peak. The queueing on Royal Route is unlikely to occur due to the lower level of car parking than originally proposed and less traffic intensive land uses being brought forward than was considered in outline planning applications. Queues grow on the South Way approach through the peak hour, implying that the junction has reached its capacity.
- 6.4.26. Elsewhere along the corridor there are longer queues observed around the new North End Road junction and through the Wembley Park Road gyratory, although these are intermittent, rather than persistent queues. Queues on the North End Road approach itself extend back to Fulton Road by the end of the peak hour.
- 6.4.27. At the Forty Lane junction queuing is forecast to most predominant be on the western arm, exceeding 220m at some points during the peak hour. However, these queues remain consistent and are shown to dissipate quickly when the approach is given traffic 'green', whilst queuing on all other approaches remains modest.

#### 7 CONCLUSIONS

- 7.1.1. The Western Corridor Study has undertaken highways design and traffic modelling work to establish the works required to meet the Owner's Objectives and Council's Objectives as secured by the s106 agreement attached to planning permission 15/5550 (23 December 2016).
- 7.1.2. The coordinated package of highway designs have been developed in close cooperation with LB Brent and Quintain to meets the study objectives which are set out in Chapter 1. Highway design proposals have been tested in traffic micro-simulation (VISSIM) based on a 2031 forecast demand for the AM and PM peak hours. The VISSIM model was used iteratively to refine the highway design, and optimise signal timings and coordination to maximise the efficiency of traffic through the corridor. Traffic modelling results shows that bus and general traffic journey times through the corridor in 2031 are forecast to be largely maintained at similar levels as today (2016 base year). The largest journey time increases, compared with 2016 base year, are limited to around one minute, whilst many routes are forecast to show improved journey times.
- 7.1.3. Traffic growth associated with Wembley Park results in localised increases in peak hour traffic on east-west routes by 2031. However, it is considered unlikely that the development demand which has been modelled through the study will eventuate at this level due to lower rates of parking being delivered than at planning. Therefore the results presented here represent a conservative upper end forecast.
- 7.1.4. Overall the study has demonstrated that the package of proposed highway works will meet the WCS objectives as set out in Chapter 1.

#### 7.2 NEXT STEPS

7.2.1. The WCS has developed and tested a coordinated package of highway and traffic signalling improvements for the study corridor. It sets the blueprint for the corridor and demonstrates that, combined, the interventions will deliver improvements along the corridor. However the interventions will need to come forward in a phased manner as they are individually subject to separate planning and funding constraints. In addition, schemes will be subject to further consultation, technical approval and detailed design.

## **Appendix A**

#### **HIGHWAY SCHEME DRAWINGS**

110



	THIS	DRA	WING REFERENCES 20082/037/028 R	PBA EVISION D	$\rightarrow$			Road Charles		KEY	VISIBILI	TY SPLAYS	3
								WSP PARSONS BRINCKERI	HOFF	PROJECT:	NORTH WEST LAND	S	
A	21/12/2016	CRJB	FIRST ISSUE		MWF	, WDM			_	TITLE:			
REV	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION	N	СНК	APD	WSP House, 70 Chancery Lane, London, WC2A 1AF Tel: +44 (0)20 7314 5000 Fax: +44 (0)20 7314 5099			EMPIRE W	AY / FULTON ROAD	JUNCTION	1
SCALE	@ A3: NTS		CHECKED: MWP	APPROVED: MI	DW			www.wspgroup.com www.pbworld.com					
DRAW	/ING STATUS:	F	OR INFORMATION O	INLY			CLIENT:	QUINTAIN		CAD FILE: 1894-SK-016.DWG	DESIGN-DRAWN: CRJB	DATE: Dece	mber 16
		(	WSP Group	Ltd			ARCHITECT:			PROJECT No: 70021894	DRAWING No: 1894-SK-	016	REV: A





#### South Way/Wembley Hill Road



1:1250









## **Appendix B**

#### **WCS SCHEME DRAWINGS**

**NSD** 



		DO NOT SCAL	E
E E	NOTE: 1. EXISTING ROA	DO NOT SCAL	E NCE ONLY.
FOR CONTINUATION SEE SHEET 70035527-FB-02	P04       17/04/2018       RJM         P03       17/01/2018       RJM         P02       28/11/2017       JTC         P01       18/10/2017       CRJB         REV       DATE       BY	MINOR AMENDMENTS MINOR AMENDMENTS MINOR AMENDMENTS MINOR AMENDMENTS FIRST ISSUE DESCRIPTION	BW       BW         BW       BW         BW       CHIK         APP
	WSP House T+ 44 (i	, 70 Chancery Lane, London, N 0) 207 314 5000, F+ 44 (0) 207 wsp.com	WC2A 1AF, UK 7 314 5111
	CLIENT:	QUINTAIN	
	SITE/PROJECT: WES LONE TITLE:	STERN CORRIDOR S DON BOROUGH OF F FUTURE BASE SHEET 1 OF 5	STUDY BRENT
	SCALE @ A1:	CHECKED:	APPROVED:
	1:500 PROJECT NO: 70022897	MB DESIGNED: DRAWN: BW CRIB	GH DATE: April 18
	DRAWING No:		REV:
KEY DI ANI (NIAT TA CAN E)	700		P04
<u>key plan (not to scale)</u>		c) WSP UK Lto	



KEY	PLAN	(NOT	ΤO	SCALE)
		-		

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### DO NOT SCALE

1. EXISTING ROAD MARKINGS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY.

NOTE:

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

		DO NOT SCALE	
	NOTE:		
10	1. EXISTING	ROAD MARKINGS FOR GUIDANCE ONI	.Y.
53 53			
17 70035527-PB-04			
Allow SEE SHE			
109 to 129			
EMPIRE WAY			
Shelter ↓ 01 2			
5			
Nemb	P02         28/11/2017         C           P01         18/10/2017         C	ITC MINOR AMENDMENTS RJB FIRST ISSUE	MB GH MB GH
Cent	REV DATE	BY DESCRIPTION	СНК АРР
10		S2 - FOR INFORMATION	
		<b>NSD</b>	
	WSP H T+	House, 70 Chancery Lane, London, WC2A 1AF + 44 (0) 207 314 5000, F+ 44 (0) 207 314 5117 wsp.com	, UK
	CLIENT: ARCHITECT:	QUINTAIN	
	SITE/PROJECT:	WESTERN CORRIDOR STUDY ONDON BOROUGH OF BRENT	
	ΤΠΤΕ:	FUTURE BASE SHEET 3 OF 5	
	SCALE @ A1: 1:500	CHECKED: APPROVI	ED: GH
	PROJECT NO: 70022897 DRAWING No:	BW CRJB	November 17 REV:
		70022897-FB-03	P02
<u>KEY PLAN (NOT TO SCALE)</u>		© WSP UK Ltd	

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

°⊙ Vx		DO NO	r scale	<u> </u>	
Participantial and a second and	NOTE: EXISTING ROAD	DO NOT	GUIDANCE O	E NLY.	
	P03       17/04/2018       RJM         P02       28/11/2017       JTC         P01       18/10/2017       CRJ         REV       DATE       BY	MINOR AMENDMEN MINOR AMENDMEN B FIRST ISSUE DESCRIPTION	NTS NTS		ВW ВW MB GH MB GH CHK АРР
Bank	DRAWING STATUS: WSP Ho T+ 4 CLIENT:	S2 - FOR IN use, 70 Chancery L (0) 207 314 5000 wsp	FORMATIC	ON C2A 1AF, UK 314 5111	
	ARCHITECT:	QUIN	NTAIN		
	SITE/PROJECT: W LO TITLE:	ESTERN COI NDON BORC FUTUR SHEET	RRIDOR ST DUGH OF B E BASE 4 OF 5		
	PROJECT NO:		IB DRAWN:	APPROVED: G DATE:	H
	70022897 DRAWING No:	BW	CRJB	Apri	I 18 REV:
KEY DIANI (NINT TO SOMIE)	7	0022897-FI	3-04		P03
<u>nei flan (nui iu suale)</u>		U WSP	UK Ltd		

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

KEY P	'LAN (	NOT	TO	SCALE)
<u>······</u>		<u></u>		

P02 28/11/2017 JTC	MINOR AMENDMENTS		MB GH
P01         18/10/2017         CRJB           REV         DATE         BY	FIRST ISSUE		MB GH CHK APP
DRAWING STATUS:	- FOR INFORMATI	ON	
52			
52			
SZ WSP House, T+ 44 (0	, 70 Chancery Lane, London, N 0) 207 314 5000, F+ 44 (0) 207 wsp.com	WC2A 1AF, UK 7 314 5111	
SZ WSP House, T+ 44 (0 CLIENT:	, 70 Chancery Lane, London, N 0) 207 314 5000, F+ 44 (0) 207 wsp.com	WC2A 1AF, UK 7 314 5111	
S2 WSP House, T+ 44 (0 CLIENT: ARCHITECT:	, 70 Chancery Lane, London, N 0) 207 314 5000, F+ 44 (0) 207 wsp.com QUINTAIN	WC2A 1AF, UK 7 314 5111	
SZ WSP House, T+ 44 (0 CLIENT: ARCHITECT: SITE/PROJECT: WES LOND	, 70 Chancery Lane, London, N D) 207 314 5000, F+ 44 (0) 207 wsp.com QUINTAIN	NC2A 1AF, UK 7 314 5111 STUDY BRENT	
SZ WSP House, T+ 44 (0 CLIENT: ARCHITECT: SITE/PROJECT: WES LOND	, 70 Chancery Lane, London, N D) 207 314 5000, F+ 44 (0) 207 wsp.com QUINTAIN STERN CORRIDOR S DON BOROUGH OF E FUTURE BASE SHEET 5 OF 5	NC2A 1AF, UK 7 314 5111 STUDY BRENT	
SZ WSP House, T+ 44 (C CLIENT: ARCHITECT: SITE/PROJECT: WES LOND TITLE: SCALE @ A1: 1:500	, 70 Chancery Lane, London, N D) 207 314 5000, F+ 44 (0) 207 wsp.com QUINTAIN STERN CORRIDOR S DON BOROUGH OF E SOON BOROUGH OF E FUTURE BASE SHEET 5 OF 5	APPROVED:	3H
SZ WSP House, T+ 44 (C CLIENT: ARCHITECT: SITE/PROJECT: WES LOND TITLE: SCALE @ A1: 1:500 PROJECT NO: 70022897	, 70 Chancery Lane, London, M D) 207 314 5000, F+ 44 (0) 207 wsp.com QUINTAIN STERN CORRIDOR S DON BOROUGH OF E SOON BOROUGH OF E SHEET 5 OF 5	APPROVED: DATE: Noven	:H hber 17
SZ WSP House, T+ 44 (O CLIENT: ARCHITECT: SITE/PROJECT: WES LOND TITLE: SCALE @ A1: 1:500 PROJECT NO: 70022897 DRAWING No: 700	, 70 Chancery Lane, London, N D) 207 314 5000, F+ 44 (0) 207 wsp.com QUINTAIN GTERN CORRIDOR S DON BOROUGH OF E SOON BOROUGH OF E SHEET 5 OF 5 CHECKED: MB DESIGNED: BW DRAWN: CRJB	APPROVED: DATE: Nover	:H hber 17 REV: P02
SZ WSP House, T+ 44 (C CLIENT: ARCHITECT: SITE/PROJECT: WES LONE TITLE: SCALE @ A1: 1:500 PROJECT NO: 70022897 DRAWING No: 700	A TERN CORRIDOR S ON BOROUGH OF E STERN CORRIDOR S ON BOROUGH OF E FUTURE BASE SHEET 5 OF 5 CHECKED: BW DESIGNED: BW CRJB DESIGNED: BW CRJB	APPROVED: DATE: Noverr	iH nber 17 REV: P02

![](_page_65_Figure_3.jpeg)

1. EXISTING ROAD MARKINGS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY.

NOTE:

## **Appendix C**

#### **TRIP GENERATION TABLES**

110

**)**)

# **TRIP GENERATION**

For Assessment

		9		As park Appendix X (final page minus one) of I (Tansport Associated 2015) Transport Assossment As park Appendix X (final page minus one) of I (Tansport Associated Assossment As par Appendix X (final page minus two) of I (Tansport Associated associated associated as As park Appendix X (final page minus two) of I (Tansport Associated associated associated As park Appendix X (final page minus two) of I (Tansport Associated associated associated As park Appendix X (final page minus two) of I (Tansport Associated associated associated As park Appendix X (final page minus two) of I (Tansport Associated associated associated As and Appendix X (final page minus two) of I (Tansport Associated	As per Table 9.4 and Appendix X (final page) of Transport December 2015 Transport Assessment		As per Appendix U (final page minus one) of Transport December 2015 Transport Assessment As ner Annendix II (final nane minus one) of Transnorri December 2015 Transnorl Assessment	As per Appendix U (final page minus three) of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment As per Appendix U (final page minus three) of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment As per Abonendix U (final page minus three) of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment	As per Appendix U (final page minus three) of iTansport December 2015 Transport Assessment As per Appendix U (final page minus three) of iTansport December 2015 Transport Assessment	As per Table 9.4 and Appendix U (final page) of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment	<u>و</u>						21 per Table 64 of the consuling September 2013 "Transport Assessment 214 divellings applying the agreed residential trip rate" from Table 9.1 of the Transport December 2015 Transport Assessment As per Table 8.2 of Transport December 2014 "Transport Assessment As per Table 8.2 of Transport December 2014 "Transport Assessment as per Table 2.0 of Transport December 2014 "Transport Assessment as per Table 2.0 of Transport December 2014 "Transport Assessment as per Table 2.0 of Transport December 2014 "Transport Assessment		-	
Tot 718 57 93 0	236 808 132 145 92	of July 201	Tot	236 808 132 145 92	1440	Tot	422	69	145 92	2289	of July 201	Tot	186 621	4000		Tot	718 17 57 93 0	885		Tot 0.080
Out 8 45 27 8 0	115 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	As o	Out	115 88 89 83 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71	686	Ĩ	205 675	388	84	1089	Ase	Out	8 8	8000		Out	347 8 27 0 45	427	Dwellings	Out 0.038
0 48 3 9 1 1 2 2 3 3 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3	121 126 69 76 48		Sat Sat	121 426 69 76	754	Sat	217 754	36 89	76	1200		Sat	96 328	2000		In Sat	371 9 30 48 0	458		Sat In 0.042
Tot 380 81 41	119 452 184 1296 129	July 2016	Tot	119 452 38 184 206	1128	Tot	212 735	98 <u>1</u> 8	206 129	1562	July 2016	Tot	93 283	8000		Tot	380 24 81 7	533	211	Tot 0.112
Out 195 10 6 6	82 5 5 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	As of	Out	44 57 64 33 33 44 52 64 33 33 33	553	0 III	50	88	£ 2	787	As of	Out	192 192	8000		Out	195 8 8 28 6 10	247	<b>Dwellings:</b>	Out 0.039
- 3 3 5 5 5 <del>1</del> 85	8 1 2 2 2 1 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		Ma	25 25 <u>5</u> 8	575	Mq	162 212	86	5 2	775		M e	5 6	8000		M R	- 35 55 <del>-</del>	285		PM In 0.073
Tot 29 26 89 74	171 264 188 205 130		Tot	171 264 39 188 205 205	266	Tot	307 355	101	205 130	1286		Tot	136 91	8000		Tot	28 28 7 89 7 89	225		Tot 0.122
0 14 14 59 59 1	121 91 170 1187 1187		Out	121 91 36 187 187	723	Ĩ	217	93	187	912		Out	96 36	2000		Out	14 24 14 29 29 29 24	180		Out 0.112
A 15 ~ ~ 5 0 15 ~ ~ 5 0	50 2 12 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		MA 1	52 c 22 c	274	AM	90 228	]∞€	8 5	374		AM ri	4 ß i			W 4	5 v v t o	45		AM In 0.01
Costco Oympic Office South West Lands Resi South West Lands Affice South West Lands Office	west Lands Hotel West Lands Non-Resi West Lands Resi North Vest Lands Resi Sest Lands Resi East Lands Resi	Masterplan: Yet to be built		West Lands Hotel West Lands Non-Resi West Lands Resi North West Lands Resi North Brast Lands Resi East Lands Resi	Total Masterplan: All	·	West Lands Hotel West Lands Non-Resi	West Lands Resi North West Lands Resi	North East Lands Resi East Lands Resi	Total	Masterplan: Built		West Lands Non-Resi	west Lands Resi North West Lands Resi North East Lands Resi East Lands Resi	Non-Master plan		Costco Olympic Office South West Lands Resi South West Lands Office South West Lands Office	Total	*Olympic Office Trip Rates	Residential Trip Rate

## SOURCE DATA

# Appendix X (final page minus one) of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment

NET TRIP GENERATION - NUN-RESIDENTIAL									
I and I fee		AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		Sat	urday Peak Ho	our
	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way
Employment (Office)	76	11	87	17	53	20	5	S	10
Retail (A3 - Café)	4	2	9	1	1	2	7	7	14
Retail (A3 - Restaurants)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retail (local needs)	28	23	51	28	27	SS	68	68	178
Retail (designer / sports outlet)	23	13	36	75	250	325	325	281	909
Leisure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel	50	121	171	91	28	119	121	115	236
Gym	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
School*	42	42	84	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	223	212	435	212	359	115	547	497	1044
"Cohool teine hum haan discounted due to se	seidential interna	lestion of advicatio	the trine to the nei	in sol when a					

# Appendix X (final page minus two) of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment

## ternal Trips) TOTAL RESIDENTIAL TRIP GEN (Minus D

ADTA CRIOT	٩	M Peak Hor	1		M Peak Ho	'n	Satu	irday Peak	Hour
AKEA / MUI	Arr	Dep	2-Way	Arr	Dep	2-Way	Arr	Dep	2-Way
Plots NE01/NE02/NE03	9	63	69	45	25	70	25	23	48
Plots NE04/NE05/NE06	12	124	136	68	47	136	51	46	97
FOTAL NE Lands	18	187	205	134	72	206	76	69	145
Plots E01/E02	5	49	24	35	19	S	20	18	38
Plot E03	7	69	76	49	26	75	28	26	54
FOTAL E Lands	12	118	130	88	45	129	48	44	92
TOTAL NW Lands	18	170	188	120	5	184	69	63	132
FOTAL W Lands		36	39	25	13	38	14	13	27
TOTAL	51	511	562	363	194	255	207	189	396

# Appendix X (final page) of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment

# Net Trip Generation (Full Masterplan)

				VEHICUL	<b>AR TRIP GEN</b>	ERATION			
LAND USE	A	M Peak Hou			M Peak Hou		Satu	urday Peak H	lour
	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way
otal Masterplan Residential	56	568	624	401	214	615	229	209	438
otal Masterplan Non-Residential*	318	344	662	374	573	947	971	880	1,851
DTAL RE-MASTERPLAN	374	912	1,286	775	787	1,562	1,200	1,089	2,289

COMDI ETE MASTEDDI AN	A	M Peak Hou	'n		M Peak Ho	ur.	Satu	irday Peak I	Hour
	Arr	Dep	2-Way	Arr	Dep	2-Way	Arr	Dep	2-Way
Extant / Existing Trips*	491	751	1,242	962	1.112	2,074	1,710	1,692	3,402
TOTAL RE-MASTERPLAN	374	912	1,286	775	787	1,562	1,200	1,089	2,289
NET CHANGE	-117	161	44	-187	-325	-512	-510	-603	-1,113

# Appendix U (final page minus one) of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment

		AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		Sat	turday Peak Hc	our
	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way
sployment (Office)	76	10	86	17	39	56	5	s	10
tail (A3 - Café)	15	7	22	2	S	7	9	26	32
tail (A3 - Restaurants)	0	0	0	22	0	22	80	20	28
tail (local needs)	44	34	78	29	40	69	119	135	254
tail (designer / sports outlet)	42	25	67	131	425	556	601	473	1074
sure	0	0	0	3	9	6	5	9	п
tel	66	217	307	162	50	212	217	205	422
E	s	s	10	80	90	16	10	10	20
100	46	46	92	0	0	0	0	0	0
TAL	318	344	662	374	573	947	126	880	1851

# Appendix U (final page minus three) of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment

# TOTAL RESIDENTIAL TRIP GEN (Minus Discounted Internal Trips)

anta / mor	4	M Peak Hou	ur.	•	M Peak Ho	r.	Satu	irday Peak I	Hour
ANEA/ FLOI	Arr	Dep	2-Way	Arr	Dep	2-Way	Arr	Dep	2-Way
Plots NE01/NE02/NE03	9	63	69	45	25	70	25	23	48
Plots NE04/NE05/NE06	12	124	136	68	47	136	51	46	67
TOTAL NE Lands	18	187	205	134	72	206	76	69	145
Plots E01/E02	s	49	54	35	19	54	20	18	38
Plot E03	2	69	76	49	26	75	28	26	54
OTAL E Lands	12	118	130	84	45	129	48	44	92
OTAL NW Lands	18	170	188	120	64	184	69	63	132
TOTAL W Lands	00	93	101	63	33	96	36	33	69
rotal	56	568	624	401	214	615	229	209	438

# Appendix U (final page) of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment

# Net Trip Generation (Full Masterplan) - Built Elements Removed

				VEHICUL	AK I KIP GEN	ERALIUN			
LAND USE	A	<b>M Peak Hou</b>	r.	•	M Peak Hou	1	Satu	rday Peak H	lour
	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way	Arrivals	Departures	2-Way
Total Masterplan Residential	51	511	562	363	194	557	207	189	396
Total Masterplan Non-Residential*	223	212	435	212	359	571	547	497	1,044
TOTAL RE-MASTERPLAN	274	723	266	575	553	1,128	754	686	1,440

COMPLETE MAGTERDI AN	A	M Peak Ho	ur		M Peak Ho	L.	Satu	rday Peak I	Hour
COMPLETE MASSIENT DAY	Arr	Dep	2-Way	Arr	Dep	2-Way	Arr	Dep	2-Way
Extant / Existing Trips*	406	627	1,033	763	786	1,549	1,329	1,321	2,650
TOTAL RE-MASTERPLAN	274	723	466	575	553	1,128	754	686	1,440
NET CHANGE	-132	96	-36	-188	-233	-421	-575	-635	-1,210

## SOURCE DATA

# Table 8.2 of iTransport December 2014 Transport Assessment

Table 8.2: Agreed Vehicular Trip Generation – Proposed Development Scenario 1 ('worst case')

and Use	Multiplier	Morning	Peak (08:0	(00:60 - 0	Eveni	ng Peak (1 18:00)	- 00:2
		Arr	Dep	Total	Arr	Dep	Total
sidential	726 units	2	82	68	53	28	81
Hotel*	250 beds	15	59	74	31	10	41
L Retail ocal format odstore)	375sqm	9	5	11	9	9	12
8-A4 Café / estaurant	500sqm	6	10	19	2	4	9
L Office	750sqm	9	1	7	1	9	7
mmunity	100sqm	2	1	e	0	1	1
	Total	45	158	203	66	55	148

# Table 6.4 of ttp consulting September 2013 Transport Assessment

New O	8:00 - 09:0	o)	Wee	kday PM F	eak	Sa (1:	turday Pe 3:00 - 14:0	ak (00
Arrive	Depart	2-Way	Arrive	Depart	2-Way	Arrive	Depart	2-Way
15	14	29	185	195	380	371	347	718

# Table 9.1 of iTransport December 2015 Transport Assessment

Masterplan
1
Rates
Trip
Vehicular
Gross
Agreed
÷
6
Table

Land Use	Multiplier	N	lorning Pe	ak	ŭ	vening Pea	k	Sa	iturday Pe	ak
		Arr	Dep	Total	Arr	Dep	Total	Arr	Dep	Total
1 Retail ocal retail)	per 100 sqm	1.595	1.346	2.941	1.642	1.534	3.176	S.106	5.106	10.212
l Retail esigner utlet)	per 100 sqm	0.132	0.076	0.208	0.410	1.347	1.757	1.759	1.522	3.281
3-A4 sfé	per 100 sqm	1.774	1.129	2.903	0.484	0.484	0.968	3.115	3.346	6.461
3-A4 estaurant	per 100 sqm	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.521	0.000	0.521	0.204	0.219	0.423
1 Office	per 100 sqm	0.132	0.020	0.152	0.033	0.096	0.129	600.0	0.010	0.019
I Hotel <sup>°</sup>	per 100 sqm	0.134	0.322	0.456	0.242	0.074	0.316	0.321	0.303	0.624
3 esidential	per dwelling	0.010	0.112	0.122	0.073	0.039	0.112	0.042	0.038	0.080
1 chool	per pupil	0.138	0.138	0.276	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2 m <sub>10</sub>	per 100 sqm	0.286	0.269	0.555	0.472	0.472	0.944	0.606	0.610	1.216
2 eisure inema)	per 100 sqm	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.216	0.216	0.432	0.191	0.159	0.350

#### RE-MASTERPLAN DEVELOPMENT MATRIX USED FOR 2015 ITRANSPORT TRIP ASSESSMENT

Yet to be Built

Plot	Status	Land Like	Floor Area (GEA	Linite / Punile	Source
	518105	Land 030	sqm)	oriits / Tupiis	
	Re-Masterplan Application	Residential		248	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
NE01	Re-Masterplan Application	Employment (Office)	128		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Community	892		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
NE02	Re-Masterplan Application	Residential		200	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Community	360		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
NE03	Re-Masterplan Application	Residential		168	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Community	138		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Residential		502	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
NE04	Re-Masterplan Application	Employment (Office)	1309		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Community	1116		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Residential		516	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
NE05	Re-Masterplan Application	Employment (Office)	511		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Community (gym)	159		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Community Retail (A3 - café)	213		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
NE06	Re-Masterplan Application	Residential		190	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Residential		478	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
E01 / E02	Re-Masterplan Application	Community	934		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	MUGA	685		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
E03 & Coachpark	Re-Masterplan Application	Residential		672	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
NW01 (under construction)	Cumulative Development	Residential		474	NWLs Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Cumulative Development	Retail (local needs)	1061		NWLs Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015) - provided as 'local retail' as a worst case
NW04	Cumulative Development	Employment (Office)	9230		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Cumulative Development	Retail (designer / sports outlet)	3844		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
NW06 (planning approval)	Cumulative Development	Residential		362	NWLs Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
····· - (-·····························	Cumulative Development	Retail (local needs)	692		NWLs Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015) - provided as 'local retail' as a worst case
NW07/08	Cumulative Development	Residential		361	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Cumulative Development	Retail (designer / sports outlet)	3898		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 11/05/15
	Re-Masterplan Application	Residential		454	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
NW09/10/11	Re-Masterplan Application	Retail (designer / sports outlet)	1778		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Employment (Office)	1439		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Community	324		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Cumulative Development	Residential		336	Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
W03	Cumulative Development	Retail (designer / sports outlet)	3682		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Cumulative Development	D2 Leisure (assumed gym)	2101		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
W06 (worst case AM Peak)	Re-Masterplan Application	Hotel	25584		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
W08 (worst case AM Peak)	Re-Masterplan Application	Employment (Office)	25453		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Retail (designer / sports outlet)	3261		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
W10 (worst case trip gen)	Re-Masterplan Application	Retail (designer / sports outlet)	1763		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
n to (noist case trip gen)	Re-Masterplan Application	Employment (Office)	17712		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
W11	Re-Masterplan Application	Hotel	12118		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
W12	Re-Masterplan Application	Employment (Office)	1244		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
	Re-Masterplan Application	Retail (designer outlet)	240		Flanagan Lawrance Schedule 08/11/15 - Rev 20
School (located on Western Lands)	Re-Masterplan Application	School		675	Consultant's Estimates Based on 3FE Primary School (30 students per form, + nursery)
school (located oil Western Edilus)	Re-Masterplan Application	Other Uses			-

#### Yet to be Built Summary

	Floor Area (GEA sqm)	Units / Pupils
Residential	0	4961
Employment (Office)	57026	0
Community	3764	0
Community (gym)	159	0
Community Retail (A3 - café)	213	0
MUGA	685	0
Retail (local needs)	1753	0
Retail (designer / sports outlet)	18226	0
D2 Leisure (assumed gym)	2101	0
Hotel	37702	0
Retail (designer outlet)	240	0
School	0	675

Built

			51 1 (05.1		
Plot	Status	Land Use	Floor Area (GEA sam)	Units / Pupils	Source
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Residential	0	286	Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
14/01 (114)	Built (traffic in baseline)	Employment (Office)	417		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
WUT (built)	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (A3 - restaurant)	242		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Community	856		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
-	Built (traffic in baseline)	Residential	0	234	Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (designer / sports outlet)	2800		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
W04 (built)	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (local needs)	618		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (A3 - café)	116		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Gym - Lifestyle Fitness	1708		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Student Residential	16562	660	Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Residential		5	Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Hotel	25400		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
W05 (built)	Built (traffic in baseline)	Aparthotel	4333		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015) - assume the same trip rate as hotel
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (designer / sports outlet)	4280		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (local needs)	390		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	traffic in baseline)     Employment (Office)     417     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Retail (A3 - restaurant)     242     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Rommunity     856     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Residential     0     234     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Residential     0     234     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Retail (docigner / sports outlet)     2800     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Retail (A3 - cafe)     116     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Retail (A3 - cafe)     116     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Residential     16562     660     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Residential     5     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Aparthotel     4280     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Retail (designer / sports outlet)     4280     Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)       traffic in baseline)     Retail (A3 - restaurant)	Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)		
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (designer / sports outlet)	9068		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (local needs)	147		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
W07 (built)	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (A3 - restaurant)	6963		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (A3 - café)	606		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
	Built (traffic in baseline)	Leisure	6308		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)
York House (existing)	Built (traffic in baseline)	Retail (designer outlet)	300		Stage 1 Drawdown (provided by Signet 25/08/2015)

Built Summary

	Floor Area (GEA sqm)	Units / Pupils
Residential	0	525
Employment (Office)	417	0
Community	856	0
Retail (local needs)	1155	0
Retail (designer / sports outlet)	16148	0
Hotel	25400	0
Retail (designer outlet)	300	0
Retail (A3 - restaurant)	10247	0
Retail (A3 - café)	722	0
Gym - Lifestyle Fitness	1708	0
Student Residential	16562	660
Aparthotel	4333	0
Leisure	6308	0

#### WCS WSP Scoping Report Table 4.1

	W	PM	SI	NL	N	WL	RW	MPM	Total (Ex	cl. SWL)
	Open	Future	Open	Future	Open	Future	Open	Future	Open	Futur
Food Retail (sqm)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	 0	0
Non-Food Retail (sqm)	16448	3682	0	0	0	7742	0	7,042	16448	1846
Offices (sqm)	417	0	0	750	0	9230	0	47,796	417	5702
Hotel (sqm)	25400	12118	0	250	0	0	0	25,584	25400	37702
Residential (dwellings)	525	336	0	726	0	1197	0	3,428	525	4961
Leisure (sqm)	8016	2101	0	0	0	0	0	159	8016	2260
Apart Hotels (sqm)	4333	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4333	0
Community (sqm)	856	0	0	100	0	0	0	4,449	856	4449
Food / Drink Facilities (sqm)	10969	0	0	500	0	0	0	213	10969	
Local Retail (sqm)	1155	0	0	375	0	1753	0	0	1155	1753
Wembley Retail Park (sqm)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	 0	0
School (sqm)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,945	0	5945
# **Appendix D**

## **JOURNEY TIME RESULTS**

vvsp



#### **Base Model Journey Time Validation**

Peak	Route No.	Observed Journey Time (sec)	Modelled Journey Time (sec)	Difference (s)	Difference (%)	
	Route 1 NB	408	350	-58	-14%	
	Route 2 SB	486	458	-28	-6%	
	Route 3 EB	121	140	19	16%	
	Route 4 WB	180	170	-10	-6%	
	Route 5 EB	126	131	5	4%	
	Route 6 WB	101	112	11	11%	
	Route 7 EB	397	389	-8	-2%	
AM Peak	Route 8 WB	364	371	7	2%	
/ WIT Call	Route 9 EB	324	357	33	10%	
	Route 10 WB	330	335	5	2%	
	Route 11 EB	290	303	13	4%	
	Route 12 WB	310	281	-29	-9%	
	Bus Route 1 NB	529	543	14	3%	
	Bus Route 2 SB	566	543	-23	-4%	
	Bus Route 3 EB	576	651	75	13%	
	Bus Route 4 WB	590	634	44	7%	
	Route 1 NB	541	476	-65	-12%	
	Route 2 SB	558	518	-40	-7%	
	Route 3 EB	172	160	-12	-7%	
	Route 4 WB	159	135	-24	-15%	
	Route 5 EB	187	158	-29	-16%	
	Route 6 WB	144	157	13	9%	
	Route 7 EB	396	364	-32	-8%	
PM Peak	Route 8 WB	455	499	44	10%	
	Route 9 EB	349	380	31	9%	
	Route 10 WB	512	649	137	27%	
	Route 11 EB	373	314	-59	-16%	
	Route 12 WB	364	425	61	17%	
	Bus Route 1 NB	648	573	-75	-12%	
	Bus Route 2 SB	624	607	-17	-3%	
	Bus Route 3 EB	590	620	30	5%	
	Bus Route 4 WB	643	771	128	20%	

## wsp

Peak	Route No.	2016 Base Journey Time (sec)	2031 Future Journey Time (sec)	Difference (s)	Difference (%)	
	Route 1 NB	350	415	65	19%	
	Route 2 SB	458	380	-78	-17%	
	Route 3 EB	140	138	-2	-1%	
	Route 4 WB	170	161	-9	-5%	
	Route 5 EB	131	125	-6	-5%	
	Route 6 WB	112	141	29	26%	
	Route 7 EB	193	243	50	26%	
AM Peak	Route 8 WB	250	223	-27	-11%	
7 WIT Call	Route 9 EB	186	179	-7	-4%	
	Route 10 WB	172	211	39	22%	
	Route 11 EB	144	146	2	1%	
	Route 12 WB	119	152	33	28%	
	Bus Route 1 NB	543	520	-23	-4%	
	Bus Route 2 SB	543	478	-65	-12%	
	Bus Route 3 EB	380	402	22	6%	
	Bus Route 4 WB	416	398	-18	-4%	
	Route 1 NB	476	457	-19	-4%	
	Route 2 SB	518	580	62	12%	
	Route 3 EB	160	186	26	16%	
	Route 4 WB	135	192	57	42%	
	Route 5 EB	158	186	28	18%	
	Route 6 WB	157	146	-11	-7%	
	Route 7 EB	176	260	84	48%	
PM Poak	Route 8 WB	365	366	1	0%	
TIMTEak	Route 9 EB	219	222	3	1%	
	Route 10 WB	471	265	-206	-44%	
	Route 11 EB	166	185	19	11%	
	Route 12 WB	251	168	-83	-33%	
	Bus Route 1 NB	570	590	20	4%	
	Bus Route 2 SB	607	652	45	7%	
	Bus Route 3 EB	357	419	62	17%	
	Bus Route 4 WB	542	422	-120	-22%	

### Base vs. WCS Model Journey Time Comparisons (AM and PM Peaks)

# **Appendix E**

wsp

## **QUEUE RESULTS**

# vsp

#### AM Peak Queue Lengths

	7 - North	170	190	189	192	194	205	251	280	312	306	320	372
End Road	6 - North 4	156	159	227	217	214	224	194	139	178	139	159	146
Z	5 - North 4	311	291	316	288	294	310	283	280	298	321	316	249
	14 - 139-2 4	99	60	58	104	82	145	177	155	171	175	163	188
Road	43 - 139-3 4	34	22	20	21	42	47	48	80	89	78	50	78
Fulton	42 - 139-4	51	57	48	58	64	80	95	85	67	124	134	163
	41 - 139-1	50	53	48	47	51	58	57	76	73	113	102	106
	18 - 034-3	13	13	14	15	13	16	14	16	10	14	17	17
Lane	17 - 034-2	29	118	146	185	253	187	234	184	185	220	220	220
Forty	16 - 034-6	407	429	429	435	427	434	430	423	430	431	427	430
	15 - 034-1	109	120	64	113	109	112	103	100	105	149	158	146
/ay	12 - 169-2	109	26	125	178	182	213	234	222	200	206	201	204
ingineers M	11 - 169-3	41	52	47	61	9/	62	75	58	68	31	40	32
Ш	10 - 169-1	62	69	12	83	11	78	29	8	120	156	181	153
ay .	9 - 170-2	410	418	446	410	431	471	462	472	464	463	453	444
South Wa	8 - 170-3	49	23	65	65	58	26	76	103	129	114	116	120
	0 7 - 170-1	72	86	06	83	81	81	76	9/	83	6	60	103
	6 - 079-1	85	9/	26	89	6/	87	78	88	105	100	92	92
pe	5 - 079-9	89	06	26	06	80	87	78	96	105	101	92	98
High Ros	9-620 - 8 1	88	89	86	120	143	143	131	133	117	114	162	148
	1 2 - 079-4	88	89	86	120	145	143	131	133	117	114	161	148
	m 1 - 079-1	<b>30</b> 110	<b>1</b> 27	<b>129</b>	<b>30</b> 142	<b>30</b> 166	<b>136</b>	<b>00</b> 159	<b>30</b> 174	<b>167</b>	<b>30</b> 157	<b>30</b> 147	<b>1</b> 84
	iximum Length (	08:00:0	08:05:0	08:10:0	08:15:0	08:20:0	08:25:0	08:30:0	08:35:0	08:40:(	08:45:0	08:50:0	08:55:0

### **PM Peak Queue Lengths**

	47 - North	322	370	375	366	332	359	346	345	323	318	315	257
End Road	16 - North	17	82	95	102	113	95	154	155	106	98	101	211
Z	5 - North	163	82	137	100	165	158	166	165	154	139	190	121
	4 - 139-2 4	139	213	240	267	236	235	196	184	124	162	155	137
toad	3 - 139-3 4	25	19	13	57	50	56	06	06	71	-11	68	13
Fulton F	2 - 139-4 4	162	146	104	88	128	246	193	164	161	113	57	44
	-139-1 4:	106	106	103	94	85	20	88	116	127	88	107	67
	-034-3 41	21	17	20	20	20	15	18	19	20	27	20	19
ane	-034-2 18	86	73	83	17	107	166	152	135	115	181	140	167
Forty La	- 034-6 17	50	55	62	56	72	58	45	55	09	-11	57	56
	- 034-1 16	158	160	151	159	171	177	206	231	21 <mark>9</mark>	216	204	224
	- 169-2 15	53	06	110	109	103	120	136	104	86	38	49	75
eers Way	-169-3 12	73	138	152	139	84	53	32	39	30	25	38	34
Engine	- 169-1 11	62	53	57	39	99	65	58	71	81	63	99	47
	170-2 10	281	356	366	426	446	458	461	456	420	374	330	265
th Way	170-3 9-	156	135	110	87	76	83	105	162	370	496	507	507
Sot	170-1 8 -	78	76	123	103	112	110	59	28	46	39	33	27
	079-10 7 -	133	141	118	134	138	151	136	132	150	151	115	107
	-9 6-6.4	134	141	118	135	138	152	136	132	150	151	115	107
h Road	779-6 5-(	189	170	197	225	255	285	306	314	296	292	274	251
High	79-4 3-(	189	170	197	225	255	285	306	314	296	292	274	251
	79-1 2-0	261	294	341	335 2	345 2	350 2	340	344	344	356 2	366	382 2
	հ (m) 1 - 0	0:00	5:00 2	0:00	5:00	00:00	5:00	00:0	5:00	00:0	5:00	00:0	5:00
	ximum Lengt	17:0	17:0	17:1	17:1	17:2	17:2	17:3	17:3	17:4	17:4	17:5	17:5

# wsp



WSP House 70 Chancery Lane London WC2A 1AF

wsp.com